

ASSEMBLY, No. 3581

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
216th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED SEPTEMBER 11, 2014

Sponsored by:

Assemblyman MICHAEL PATRICK CARROLL
District 25 (Morris and Somerset)

SYNOPSIS

Establishes immunity from liability for manufacturers and sellers in certain product liability actions.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



A3581 CARROLL

2

1 AN ACT concerning immunity in certain product liability actions
2 and amending P.L.1987, c.197.

3

4 **BE IT ENACTED** by the Senate and General Assembly of the State
5 of New Jersey:

6

7 1. Section 4 of P.L.1987, c.197 (C.2A:58C-4) is amended to
8 read as follows:

9 4. In any product liability action the manufacturer or seller
10 shall not be liable for harm caused by a failure to warn if the
11 product contains an adequate warning or instruction or, in the case
12 of dangers a manufacturer or seller discovers or reasonably should
13 discover after the product leaves its control, if the manufacturer or
14 seller provides an adequate warning or instruction. An adequate
15 product warning or instruction is one that a reasonably prudent
16 person in the same or similar circumstances would have provided
17 with respect to the danger and that communicates adequate
18 information on the dangers and safe use of the product, taking into
19 account the characteristics of, and the ordinary knowledge common
20 to, the persons by whom the product is intended to be used, or in the
21 case of prescription drugs, taking into account the characteristics of,
22 and the ordinary knowledge common to, the prescribing physician.
23 If the warning or instruction given in connection with a drug or
24 device or food or food additive has been approved or prescribed by
25 the federal Food and Drug Administration under the "Federal Food,
26 Drug, and Cosmetic Act," 52 Stat. 1040, 21 U.S.C. s. 301 et seq. or
27 the "Public Health Service Act," 58 Stat. 682, 42 U.S.C. s. 201 et
28 seq., **[a rebuttable presumption shall arise that the warning or**
29 **instruction is adequate]** the manufacturer or seller shall be immune
30 from liability. For purposes of this section, the terms "drug",
31 "device", "food", and "food additive" have the meanings defined in
32 the "Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act."
33 (cf: P.L.1987, c.197, s.4)

34

35 2. This act shall take effect immediately and shall apply
36 prospectively to product liability actions instituted on or after the
37 effective date of this act.

38

39

40

STATEMENT

41

42 This bill establishes immunity from liability for a manufacturer
43 or seller for harm caused by a failure to warn, where a warning or
44 instruction given in connection with a drug, device, food, or food

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined thus is new matter.

A3581 CARROLL

1 additive has been approved or prescribed by the federal Food and
2 Drug Administration.

3 Under current law, a manufacturer or seller is not liable for harm
4 caused by a failure to warn if the product contains an adequate
5 warning or instruction, or if the manufacturer or seller provides an
6 adequate warning or instruction for dangers a manufacturer or seller
7 discovers or reasonably should discover after the product leaves its
8 control. In a case in which a warning or instruction is given in
9 connection with a drug, device, food, or food additive that has been
10 approved or prescribed by the federal Food and Drug
11 Administration, there is a rebuttable presumption that the warning
12 or instruction is adequate.

13 A warning or instruction is adequate if it is one that a reasonably
14 prudent person in the same or similar circumstances would have
15 provided with respect to the danger and that communicates
16 adequate information on the dangers and safe use of the product,
17 taking into account the characteristics of, and the ordinary
18 knowledge common to, the persons by whom the product is
19 intended to be used, or in the case of prescription drugs, taking into
20 account the characteristics of, and the ordinary knowledge common
21 to, the prescribing physician.

22 In the view of the sponsor, the presumption in favor of a
23 manufacturer or seller does not adequately protect a manufacturer
24 or seller from unnecessarily expending resources in response to a
25 failure to warn claim.

26 It is the sponsor's belief that by establishing immunity from
27 liability, rather than a presumption, for a failure to warn claim in
28 which a warning or instruction was approved by the federal Food
29 and Drug Administration, fewer claims will be filed and the
30 unnecessary expenditure of resources by a manufacturer or seller
31 will be better prevented.

32 The bill would take effect immediately and would apply
33 prospectively to product liability claims filed after the effective date
34 of the bill.