ASSEMBLY, No. 4337 **STATE OF NEW JERSEY** 218th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED AUGUST 27, 2018

Sponsored by: Assemblyman DANIEL R. BENSON District 14 (Mercer and Middlesex) Assemblyman WAYNE P. DEANGELO District 14 (Mercer and Middlesex)

SYNOPSIS

"Transportation for Hazardous Walking Routes Act"; requires school districts to provide busing for students required to walk to school along hazardous routes and prohibits school bus stops within 250 feet of residence of sex offender.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 9/14/2018)

2

AN ACT concerning school busing, amending P.L.1999, c. 310, and

supplementing chapter 39 of Title 18A of the New Jersey

1

2

3

Statutes.

4 5 **BE IT ENACTED** by the Senate and General Assembly of the State 6 of New Jersey: 7 8 1. (New section) A school district shall not locate a school bus 9 stop within 250 feet of the residence of a sex offender whose risk of re-offense has been determined to be moderate or high pursuant to 10 subsection c. of section 3 of P.L.1994, c.128 (C.2C:7-8), unless the 11 12 relocation of the school bus stop creates a more dangerous condition for a student. In making this determination, the school 13 district shall consult with the chief law enforcement officer of the 14 15 municipality. 16 17 2. Section 2 of P.L.1999, c.310 (C.18A:39-1.5) is amended to 18 read as follows: 19 2. a. A school district that provides [courtesy] busing services 20 shall adopt a policy [regarding] requiring the transportation of 21 students who must walk to and from school along hazardous routes. 22 The policy shall include a list of hazardous routes in the district 23 requiring the [courtesy] busing of students and the criteria used in 24 designating the hazardous routes. In adopting its policy, the school district [may] shall consider, but shall not be limited to, the 25 26 following criteria: 27 (1) Population density; (2) Traffic volume; 28 29 (3) Average vehicle velocity; 30 (4) Existence or absence of sufficient sidewalk space; 31 (5) Roads and highways that are winding or have blind curves; 32 (6) Roads and highways with steep inclines and declines; 33 (7) Drop-offs that are in close proximity to a sidewalk; (8) Bridges or overpasses that must be crossed to reach the 34 35 school; (9) Train tracks or trestles that must be crossed to reach the 36 37 school; [and] 38 (10) Busy roads or highways that must be crossed to reach the 39 school; and 40 (11) Location of residences of sex offenders whose risk of reoffense has been determined to be moderate or high pursuant to 41 42 subsection c. of section 3 of P.L.1994, c.128 (2C:7-8). 43 b. A school district shall work in conjunction with municipal 44 officials in determining the criteria necessary for the designation of 45 a hazardous route. EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined <u>thus</u> is new matter.

A4337 BENSON, DEANGELO

3

1 c. Any additional costs incurred by a school district in 2 implementing P.L., c. (C.) (pending before Legislature as this 3 bill) shall be borne by the State. 4 (cf: P.L.1999, c.310, s.2) 5 6 This act shall take effect immediately and shall first be 3. 7 applicable to the 2019-2020 school year. 8 9 10 **STATEMENT** 11 The bill prohibits a school district from locating a school bus 12 13 stop within 250 feet of the residence of a moderate or high risk sex 14 offender unless the relocation of the school bus stop creates a more 15 dangerous condition for a student. In making this determination, 16 the school district is required to consult with the chief law enforcement officer of the municipality. 17 18 This bill will require school districts that provide any busing 19 services to adopt a policy requiring the transportation of students 20 who must walk along hazardous routes. The policy must include a list of hazardous routes in the district that will require the busing of 21 students. One of the criteria the district must use in adopting its 22 23 policy is the location of the residences of sex offenders whose risk 24 of re-offense has been determined to be moderate or high pursuant 25 to law. Any additional costs which will be incurred by a district in 26 implementing the provisions of the bill will be borne by the State.