The Assembly Appropriations Committee reports favorably an Assembly Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill No. 4497. This substitute bill, titled the “New Jersey Cannabis Regulatory and Expungement Aid Modernization Act,” primarily concerns the development, regulation, and enforcement of activities associated with the personal use, by persons 21 years of age or older, of legal cannabis or cannabis resin (the terms provided to distinguish the legalized products from illegal marijuana or hashish). This would be accomplished through the creation of a new regulating entity, the Cannabis Regulatory Commission, to be located in but not of the Department of the Treasury. This commission would additionally assume responsibility from the Department of Health for the further development and expansion, regulation, and enforcement of activities associated with the medical use of cannabis pursuant to the “Jake Honig Compassionate Use Medical Cannabis Act,” P.L.2009, c.307 (C.24:6I-1 et al.), based on the title and provisions of that act being revised by a proposed Assembly Committee Substitute combining Assembly Bill No. 10 and the previous Assembly Committee Substitute for Assembly Bill Nos. 3740 and 3437, currently under consideration in the Assembly.

The bill also addresses criminal justice issues and provides anti-discrimination protections regarding the impact of the State’s prior approach to the illegal marijuana market, most notably by:

- deeming the arrests, charges, convictions, and adjudications of delinquency for the following offenses to have not occurred, without need to petition a court for an expungement order to accomplish such effect, if its occurrence was from before the bill’s effective date: unlawful distribution of, or possessing or having under control with intent to distribute, marijuana or hashish in violation of paragraph (11) or (12) of subsection b. of N.J.S.2C:35-5, or a violation of either of those paragraphs and a violation of subsection a. of section 1 of P.L.1987, c.101 (C.2C:35-7) or subsection a. of section 1 of P.L.1997, c.327 (C.2C:35-7.1), for distributing, or possessing or having under control with intent to distribute, on or within 1,000 feet of any school property, or on or within 500 feet of the real property comprising a public housing facility, public park, or public building; obtaining, possessing, using, being under the influence of, or failing to make
lawful disposition of marijuana or hashish in violation of paragraph (3) or (4) of subsection a., subsection b., or subsection c. of N.J.S.2C:35-10; and a violation involving any of the aforementioned offenses and using or possessing with intent to use drug paraphernalia with that marijuana or hashish in violation of N.J.S.2C:36-2.

- establishing an expedited expungement process as an additional means of clearing a person’s record with respect to the same categories of offenses listed above;

- dismissing charges based on the same categories of offenses listed above that have not been finally adjudicated on the bill effective date, as well as permitting persons with convictions or adjudications of delinquency for such offenses to seek post-conviction relief to reduce or change their sentence; and

- creating monetary penalties for discrimination by employers or mortgage lenders on the basis of arrests, charges, convictions, or adjudications of delinquency concerning the same categories of offenses, as well as a private cause of action for discrimination on any such basis in public or private housing, real property, or any place of public accommodation.

Cannabis Regulatory Commission

The commission would consist of five, full-time members. At least one member would be a State representative of a national organization or State branch of such an organization with a stated mission of studying, advocating, or adjudicating against forms of social injustice or inequality, and additionally all members would possess education, training, or experience with: legal, policy, or criminal justice issues; corporate or industry management, finance, securities, or production or distribution; medicine or pharmacology; or public health, mental health, or substance use disorders.

The initially designated chair and two other initial members would be appointed by the Governor, another initial member would be appointed by the Governor upon the recommendation of the Senate President, and the final initial member would be appointed by the Governor upon the recommendation of the Speaker of the General Assembly. Thereafter, the Governor would appoint, with the advice and consent of the Senate, the chair and the two other members not requiring any legislative leadership recommendation. The appointments based upon based upon the Senate President’s and Speaker’s recommendation would continue to be direct gubernatorial appointments. All five members would serve terms of five years (although the initial terms would include one four-year term and one three-year term in order to stagger reappointments). The chair would be provided a salary not to exceed $141,000, and the other members provided a salary not to exceed $125,000.

With respect to the personal use of cannabis (and leaving nearly all of the details on the revised regulation of medical cannabis to the aforementioned Assembly Committee Substitute), the general duties, functions, and powers of the commission would include:
(1) Regulating the purchase, sale, production, processing, packaging, transportation, and delivery of cannabis items – a broadly defined term which incorporates all cannabis, cannabis resin, cannabis products, and cannabis extracts;

(2) Granting, refusing, suspending, revoking, cancelling, or otherwise limiting licenses or conditional licenses for the production, processing, and sale of cannabis items. As further detailed below with respect to licensing activities, a “conditional license” is a type of license that would be issued by the commission pursuant to an abbreviated application process, after which the conditional license holder has a limited period of time in which to become fully licensed by satisfying all of the remaining conditions for full licensure which were not required for the issuance of the conditional license;

(3) Investigating and aiding in the prosecution of violations of law relating to cannabis items;

(4) Taking regulatory actions to prohibit advertising of cannabis items in a manner that is appealing to minors, that promotes excessive use, or that promotes illegal activity; and

(5) Regulating the use of cannabis items for scientific, pharmaceutical, manufacturing, mechanical, industrial, and other purposes.

The commission would be charged with establishing a plan of organization, and employing personnel as it deemed necessary to operate under the direct supervision of a full-time executive director. The new executive director position would be initially filled directly by the Governor, and thereafter appointed by the Governor with the Senate’s advice and consent. Because the commission would be assuming responsibility from the Department of Health concerning the regulation of medical cannabis, the bill would permit, based on the transfer of responsibility, employees of the department who performed the duties of any position to be filled by the commission a one-time right of first refusal offer of employment. Any department employee who became employed by the commission would retain seniority, and all rights related to seniority, that the employee had with the department as of the last day of employment with the department.

One mandatory aspect to the commission’s organization plan would be the inclusion of an Office of Minority, Disabled Veterans, and Women Cannabis Business Development, operating under the supervision of a director appointed by the Governor. This office would establish and administer, under the direction of the commission, unified practices and procedures for promoting participation in the lawful operation of cannabis businesses by persons from socially and economically disadvantaged communities, including by prospective and existing minority owned and women’s owned businesses, as these terms are defined in section 2 of P.L.1986, c.195 (C.52:27H-21.18), and disabled veterans’ businesses as defined in section 2 of P.L.2015, c.116 (C.52:32-31.2), which could be licensed as personal use cannabis establishments under the bill or issued permits for activities
concerning the medical use of cannabis under the “Jake Honig Compassionate Use Medical Cannabis Act,” P.L.2009, c.307 (C.24:6I-1 et al.). These unified practices and procedures would include a business’ certification and subsequent recertification at regular intervals as a minority owned or women’s owned business, or a disabled veterans’ business, in accordance with eligibility criteria and a certification application process established by the commission in consultation with the office.

The effectiveness of these methods would be measured by whether the office’s actions resulted in not less than 30 percent of the total number of licenses issued by the commission for personal use establishments, and not less than 30 percent of all new permits issued by the commission for activities concerning the medical use of cannabis, being issued to businesses certified by the office; their effectiveness would be further assessed by considering whether the actions resulted in not less than 15 percent of licenses and permits being issued to certified minority owned businesses, and not less than 15 percent of licenses and permits being issued to certified women’s owned and disabled veterans’ businesses. The office, in support of these efforts, would conduct advertising and promotional campaigns, as well as sponsor seminars and informational programs, directed toward those persons and prospective and existing certified businesses, which would address personal use or medical cannabis business management, marketing, and other practical business matters.

Ethical and Conflicts-of-Interest Considerations for the Commission, its Employees, and Other Parties

The members of the commission and all commission employees would be subject to ethical and conflicts-of-interest restrictions, addressing activities engaged in prior to, during, and following service with the commission. For instance, a person generally could not be an appointed member or employee of the commission if, during the period commencing three years prior to appointment or employment, the person held any direct or indirect interest in, or any employment by, a holder of or applicant for a cannabis license or permit issued pursuant to this bill or the “Jake Honig Compassionate Use Medical Cannabis Act,” unless the person’s prior interest would not, in the opinion of the commission, interfere with the person’s obligations of appointment or employment; and generally, for a period of two years commencing from the date that a member’s or employee’s service terminates, that former member or employee would not be permitted to hold any direct or indirect interest in, or any employment by, a holder of or applicant for a cannabis license or permit (this two-year post-service restriction would not apply to secretarial or clerical employees).

At the time of commencing service, each member and employee (with the exception of secretarial and clerical employees) would be required to file a financial disclosure statement with the State Ethics Commission, listing all assets and liabilities, property and business
interests, and sources of income of the person, and the person’s spouse, domestic partner, or partner in a civil union couple. Additionally, commission members would have to supply the same information for each dependent child or stepchild of the member, or of the spouse, domestic partner, or partner in a civil union couple residing in the same household as the member.

The members and employees would generally be subject to the “New Jersey Conflicts of Interest Law,” P.L.1971, c.182 (C.52:13D-12 et seq.), as well as a Code of Ethics promulgated by the commission that is modeled upon the Code of Judicial Conduct of the American Bar Association, as amended and adopted by the New Jersey Supreme Court. All members and employees would be prohibited from using any official authority to interfere with or affect the result of an election or nomination for office, coerce or advise any person to contribute anything of value to another person or organization for political purposes, or take active part in any political campaign. For the commission members, the executive director of the commission, and any other employee holding a supervisory or policy-making position, the bill also provides a prohibition on making any political contributions to candidates or campaigns, as that term is defined in “The New Jersey Campaign Contributions and Expenditures Reporting Act,” P.L.1973, c.83 (C.19:44A-1 et seq.).

The “New Jersey Conflicts of Interest Law” is also amended to establish restrictions on various State officers or employees, the Governor and full-time professionals employed in the Governor’s Office, full-time members of the Judiciary, and various municipal officers in which licensed or permitted cannabis entities are located. These restrictions concern not only their own activities, but the activities of their associated partnerships, firms, or corporations, and their family members in connection with either employment or another interest in, or representation of, current license or permit holders or applicants. The restrictions are similar to the restrictions on these people and businesses under the current law concerning casino licensees and applicants, and casino-related activities, and include a general prohibition on employment, representation, appearance for, or negotiation on behalf of, any license or permit holder or applicant in connection with any cause, application, or matter, and these restrictions can carry over into the post-employment or post-service period following the departure of a person from State or local employment or office.

The ethical and conflicts-of-interest restrictions would be enforced by the State Ethics Commission, and any person found to have committed a violation would be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $500 or more than $10,000. Additionally, any willful violation of the restrictions similar to the restrictions concerning casino licensees and applicants that are applicable to the above State or municipal elected, appointed, or employed persons, their associated partnerships, firms, or corporations, and their family members would be considered
a disorderly persons offense, punishable by a term of imprisonment of up to six months, a fine of up to $1,000, or both.

If the Cannabis Regulatory Commission found that a license holder, permit holder, or applicant for a license or permit, had committed a violation involving a commission member or employee with respect to pre-service activities, activities during service, or post-service activities, that license or permit holder or applicant would be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $500 or more than $10,000, and possible license or permit revocation or suspension, or denial of an application, as applicable.

Licensing of Cannabis Establishments

The bill would establish four classes of licensed establishments: a Class 1 Cannabis Grower license, for facilities involved in growing and cultivating cannabis; a Class 2 Cannabis Processor license, for facilities involved in the manufacturing, preparation, and packaging of cannabis items; a Class 3 Wholesaler license, for facilities involved in obtaining and selling cannabis items or cannabis paraphernalia for later resale by other licensees; and a Class 4 Cannabis Retailer license, for locations at which cannabis items and paraphernalia are sold to consumers. Except with respect to an initial period in which the number of cannabis grower licenses would be capped, as further explained below, the commission would determine the maximum number of licenses for each class based upon market demands, and would be authorized to make requests for new license applications as it deemed necessary to meet those demands.

The commission would be responsible for reviewing each application for a full, annual license, or application for a conditional license, intended to be issued and then subsequently replaced with a full license. Applications would be scored and reviewed based upon a point scale with the commission determining the amount of points, the point categories, and system of point distribution by regulation, subject to some required criteria for consideration in the point scale, such as an analysis of an applicant’s: operating plan; environmental plan; and safety and security plans. This point system could be adjusted, or a separate point system used for any application for which a conditional license is sought. Further, in ranking applications, in addition to the awarding of points, the commission would prioritize applications for licensure using two other factors.

One prioritizing factor would be based on “impact zones,” which are identified under the bill as any municipality (referred to as a “local governmental entity” in the bill) that: (1) has a population of 120,000 or more according to the most recently compiled federal decennial census as of the bill taking effect; or (2) ranks in the top 33 percent of local governmental entities in the State for small amount marijuana possession arrests in the calendar year next preceding the bill taking effect; has a crime index total of 1,000 or higher based upon the indexes listed in the most recently issued annual Uniform Crime Report by the Division of State Police, as of the bill taking effect; and
has an annual average unemployment rate that ranks in the top 15 percent of all local governmental entities in the State in the calendar year next preceding the bill taking effect. Concerning applications involving impact zones, the commission would not only prioritize applications for licensed establishments in such zones, but would also prioritize applications: that included a person who is a current resident of an impact zone and had resided therein for three or more consecutive years at the time of making the application (to the extent possible the commission would grant at least 25 percent of the total licenses issued, regardless of license class and location of the establishment, to such applicants); or that included a plan to employ 25 percent of employees who reside in an impact zone.

The second prioritization would be based upon a point system used to rank applications, which gave higher rankings to an applicant for meeting one of the following conditions for its labor environment: being a party to a collective bargaining agreement with a labor organization that currently represents, or is actively seeking to represent cannabis workers in New Jersey; being a party to a collective bargaining agreement with a labor organization that currently represents cannabis workers in another state; or submitting an attestation affirming that the applicant will use best efforts to utilize union labor in the construction or retrofit of the facilities associated with the cannabis establishment.

When processing applications, the commission would also incorporate the licensing efforts developed by the Office of Minority, Disabled Veterans, and Women Cannabis Business Development designed to promote the formulation and participation in the lawful operation of cannabis businesses by persons from socially and economically disadvantaged communities.

In accordance with the bill, at least 35 percent of the total licenses issued for each class would be conditional licenses. Either a full license or conditional license would only be issued for applications which presented an ownership structure that includes at least one “significantly involved person,” being someone who holds at least a five percent investment interest or is a member of a group who holds at least a 20 percent investment interest and would have authority to make controlling decisions about the cannabis establishment; this person would additionally have to be a resident of New Jersey for at least two years as of the date of application. Another requirement, applicable only to a conditional license, would be that the significantly involved person and any other person with a financial interest who also has decision making authority for a proposed cannabis establishment could only have, for the immediately preceding taxable year, an adjusted gross income of no more than $200,000 or no more than $400,000 if filing jointly with another. For purposes of calculating the 35 percent figure for conditional licenses, the figure would include any conditional license issued to an applicant that was
subsequently replaced with a full, annual license (which process is further detailed below).

Additionally, at least 10 percent of the total licenses issued for each license class, and at least 25 percent of the overall total number of licenses issued would be designated for and only issued to “microbusinesses.” A microbusiness is described in the bill as employing no more than 10 employees, and: possessing no more than 1,000 cannabis plants each month; operating an establishment occupying an area of no more than 2,500 square feet, and in the case of a cannabis grower, growing on an area no more than 2,500 square feet measured on a horizontal plane and growing above that plane not higher than 24 feet; in the case of a cannabis processor, acquiring and processing no more than 1,000 pounds of cannabis in dried form each month; in the case of a cannabis wholesaler, acquiring for resale no more than 1,000 pounds of cannabis in dried form, or the equivalent amount in any other form, or any combination thereof, each month; and in the case of a cannabis retailer, acquiring for retail sale no more than 1,000 pounds of cannabis in dried form, or the equivalent amount in any other form, or any combination thereof, each month. For this subset of the four classes of cannabis establishments, 100 percent of the ownership would have to involve New Jersey residents who have resided in the State for at least two years.

The minimum 10 percent per class, and 25 percent overall, of microbusiness-designated licenses issued would include the number of conditional licenses issued for each class, as these two categories are not considered mutually exclusive of one another.

The commission would require that an applicant for licensure, other than an applicant seeking to operate a microbusiness of any class or seeking a conditional license, submit an attestation signed by a bona fide labor organization stating that the applicant entered into a labor peace agreement with such bona fide organization. The maintenance of an agreement would be an ongoing material condition of a full, annual license, unless the business was a microbusiness. Submission of proof of an agreement from an applicant originally issued a conditional license would be a requirement for final approval granting full licensure. As an additional labor requirement, failure to enter, or to make a good faith effort to enter, into a collective bargaining agreement within 200 days of the opening of a cannabis establishment would result in the suspension or revocation of a license.

Any applicant for a license or conditional license would have to provide proof for each person with any investment interest as being 21 years of age or older, and each of the following persons associated with the cannabis establishment for which licensure is sought would be subject to a criminal history record background check: any owner, other than an owner who holds less than a five percent investment interest or who is a member of a group that holds less than a 20 percent investment interest, and who has no authority for making controlling business decisions; any director; any officer; and any
employee. With respect to qualification or disqualification for licensure based on the background check, the commission would be prohibited from considering any convictions for an offense that occurred prior to the bill’s effective date involving the manufacturing, distribution or possession with intent to distribute, less than five pounds of marijuana or less than one pound of hashish, or simple possession of any amount of marijuana or hashish (the same offenses that are deemed to have not occurred, listed above and further described below), whether convicted under the laws of this or another state, or under federal law, or any other prior conviction, unless less than five years have passed since convicted, or since completing probation, parole, or a term of imprisonment, and the conviction involved fraud, deceit, embezzlement, employing a minor in a drug distribution scheme, or some other conviction “substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties for which the license is required,” as determined by the commission. Such a conviction would not be an automatic disqualifier, as the commission would still have the authority to issue a license or conditional license to an applicant which included a person with a “substantially related” conviction, after examining the nature of the offense associated with the conviction, the circumstances at the time of committing the offense, and evidence of rehabilitation since conviction.

With respect to the application for a full license, the commission would complete its review for license approval or denial within 90 days of the submission of the application, unless the commission determined that more time is required. If approved, a license would be issued by the commission not later than 30 days after it gave notice of the approval, unless the applicant was subsequently found to not be in compliance with relevant regulations or local regulating ordinances applicable to the applicant’s business operations. An issued license would expire after one year, but could be renewed following submission of a new application, in which the applicant would detail aspects of the cannabis licensee’s operations and on-going compliance measures as part of the renewal process.

With respect to the application for a conditional license, the commission would complete an expedited review for approval or denial within 30 days, unless the commission determined that more time is required. If approved, a conditional license would be issued by the commission not later than 30 days after it gave notice of the approval, unless the applicant was subsequently found to not be in compliance with relevant regulations or local regulating ordinances applicable to conditionally licensed operations. The applicant would not need to be in compliance with every aspect of the regulatory requirements expected for full licensure in order to obtain a conditional license, but would need to provide sufficient plans for actions to be taken to eventually achieve compliance for full licensure. During a 120-day period following issuance of the conditional license, if the commission determined that the conditional licensee was in
compliance with all plans and other measures necessary to achieve full licensure, it would replace the conditional license with a full, annual license, dated to expire one year from its date of issuance and which could be subsequently renewed; if the conditional licensee was not in compliance as needed for full licensure, the conditional license would automatically expire at the end of the 120-day period.

Certification of Cannabis Handlers
In addition to the above described licensing requirements, any individual who performed work for or on behalf of any class of licensee (or conditional licensee) would need to have a valid certification issued by the commission, in order to participate in: the possession, securing, or selling of cannabis items at the licensed premises; the recording of the possession, securing, or selling of cannabis items at that premises; or the home delivery of cannabis items and related supplies to a retail consumer. The commission could require that anyone applying for a handler certification successfully complete a one-time course which provides training on checking identification, detecting intoxication, the proper handling of cannabis items, and statutory and regulatory provisions relating to cannabis. A person seeking a certification would also be subject to a criminal history record background check, and subject to the same potential disqualifying standards as applicable to applicants for licenses.

Transition to Full Legal Market for Cannabis Items
Within 180 days after the bill is signed into law, or within 45 days of all five members of the commission being duly appointed in accordance with the appointment process set forth in the bill, whichever date is later, and after consultation with the Attorney General, State Treasurer, Commissioner of Health, and Commissioner of Banking and Insurance, the commission would, upon filing proper notice with the Office of Administrative Law, and notwithstanding the provisions of the “Administrative Procedure Act,” P.L.1968, c.410 (C.52:14B-1 et seq.), immediately adopt rules and regulations it prepared that are necessary and proper to enable it to carry out the commission’s duties, functions, and powers with respect to activities associated with the personal use of cannabis or cannabis resin under this bill and with the medical use of cannabis under the “Jake Honig Compassionate Use Medical Cannabis Act.” These initial rules and regulations would be in effect for a period not to exceed one year after the date of filing, and thereafter be adopted, amended, or readopted, and any subsequent rules and regulations adopted, amended, or readopted, in accordance with the “Administrative Procedure Act.”

The commission would begin accepting and processing applications for licenses and conditional licenses within 30 days after the commission’s initial rules and regulations have been adopted. Also, at the time of initial adoption, provisions of the bill concerning the lawful operations of licensed cannabis growers, processors, wholesalers, and retailers would become operative to permit those cannabis establishments issued licenses by the commission to
commence work in growing, cultivating, processing, and packaging cannabis and cannabis items, as well as cannabis paraphernalia, for future retail sales which would not yet be authorized by licensed cannabis retailers.

Also becoming operative at this time would be provisions, linked to provisions contained in the aforementioned Assembly Committee Substitute for medical cannabis, which would deem every current medical cannabis alternative treatment center issued a permit prior to the effective date of that substitute bill, or issued a permit after the effective date pursuant to a permit application submitted prior to that date, or one of six new center's established by section 11 of the substitute bill, to either concurrently hold a Class 1 Cannabis Grower license, a Class 2 Cannabis Processor license, and a Class 4 Cannabis Retailer license, plus an additional Class 4 Cannabis Retailer license for each satellite dispensary that was approved prior to the effective date of the substitute bill, or approved after the effective date pursuant to an application submitted prior to that date, or to hold only a Class 3 Wholesaler license. However, any such deemed alternative treatment center could not engage in any preparatory work to incorporate personal use cannabis items into its operations, and thus simultaneously function as cannabis establishments, until it submitted written approval to the commission to operate as one or more classes of a cannabis establishment, received from the local governmental entity in which the establishment is to be located, and the commission in turn issues an actual license or licenses.

Notwithstanding the date determined by the commission pursuant to be the first date on which cannabis retailers issued licenses or conditional licenses may begin retail sales of personal use cannabis items, an alternate treatment center with a locally approved Class 4 Retailer license, could begin to engage in the retail sale of cannabis items on any date after the date that the commission adopts its initial rules and regulations, and could be legally consumed by persons 21 years of age or older, so long as it has certified to the commission, and to the local governmental entity in which it is located and intends to engage in retail sales, that it has sufficient quantities of medical cannabis and medical cannabis products available to meet the reasonably anticipated need of registered qualifying patients.

Prior to and during this transition phase leading up to eventual retail sales by licensed cannabis retailers (or while alternative treatment centers are already engaged in such sales), every local governmental entity would have the option to authorize and regulate the time, place, manner, and number of licensed cannabis establishments operating within its jurisdiction, in a manner consistent with the bill’s regulation of such establishments. Alternatively, but only during a 180-day period following the bill’s enactment, a local governmental entity could enact an ordinance to prohibit such operations by any one or more classes of establishments. Only an ordinance to prohibit one or more classes of cannabis establishment
enacted pursuant to the specific authority to do so by the bill would be valid and enforceable; any ordinance enacted prior to the bill’s effective date addressing the issue of prohibition within the jurisdiction of a local governmental entity would be null and void, and that entity could only prohibit the operation of one or more classes of cannabis establishment by enactment of a new ordinance in accordance with the bill’s provisions.

The failure of a local governmental entity to timely enact an ordinance prohibiting such operations would result in any class of cannabis establishment that is not prohibited from operating within the local jurisdiction as being permitted to operate therein for a period of five years as follows: the growing, cultivating, processing, and selling and reselling of cannabis and cannabis items by a cannabis grower, cannabis processor, or cannabis wholesaler would be permitted uses in all industrial zones of the local governmental entity; and the selling of cannabis items to consumers from a retail store by a cannabis retailer would be a conditional use in all commercial zones or retail zones, subject to meeting the conditions set forth in any applicable zoning ordinance or receiving a variance from one or more of those conditions in accordance with the “Municipal Land Use Law,” P.L.1975, c.291 (C.40:55D-1 et seq.). At the end of any five-year period following a failure to enact a local ordinance, the local governmental entity could revisit the issue of prohibition, but any ordinance would be prospective only and not apply to any cannabis establishment already operating within the local jurisdiction subject to the ordinance.

If a local governmental entity allowed the operation of cannabis establishments, a copy of each license application submitted to the commission for an establishment to be located within that local jurisdiction would be provided to the local governmental entity, which in turn would inform the commission whether the application complies with its local regulatory scheme, and which could be the basis for a denial of an application if not in compliance.

Lastly, during the transition phrase when applications are being processed and licensed cannabis establishments starting operations or medical alternative treatment centers starting preparatory work or actually incorporating personal use cannabis items into their operations, the commission would determine the first date on which cannabis retailers issued licenses or conditional licenses may begin retail sales of personal use cannabis items. This date would be no more than 180 days after the adoption of the commission’s initial rules and regulations, and the commission would provide at least 30 days’ notice of the date to every licensed cannabis establishment and alternative treatment center deemed to be a licensed cannabis establishment, even if that center was already engaging in retail sales. On that date and thereafter, legal retail sales and consumption of personal use cannabis items sold by licensed cannabis retailers would begin.
Once retail sales by licensed cannabis retailers have begun, there would be a limitation, for a period of 18 months, on the number and classes of licenses any one licensee could hold. During this time, the bill would not permit a licensed grower, processor, or wholesaler to also be a licensed retailer, and vice versa, plus a grower or processor could only concurrently hold two licenses, and a wholesaler would be limited to just one license; these restrictions would not apply to a medical alternative treatment center deemed to concurrently possess one of each type of cannabis license class as described above. Additionally, throughout this 18-month period, the commission would not allow more than 28 cannabis growers to be simultaneously licensed and engaging in personal use cannabis activities; and not allow more than 28 entities to be simultaneously holding permits equivalent to a cannabis grower license, referred to as “medical cannabis cultivator permits” in the aforementioned Assembly Committee Substitute for medical cannabis.

Following the 18-month period, a license holder could hold:
- a Class 1 Cannabis Grower license, a Class 2 Cannabis Processor license, and a Class 4 Cannabis Retailer license concurrently, provided that no license holder would be authorized to concurrently hold more than one license of each class, except for an alternative treatment center that was deemed, during the 18 month period, to have an additional Class 4 Cannabis Retailer license for each satellite dispensary as described above; or
- a Class 3 Cannabis Wholesaler license; in no case could a holder of a Class 3 Cannabis Wholesaler license concurrently hold a license of any other class.

The caps on cannabis grower licenses and medical cannabis cultivator permits would also be evaluated and future licenses and permits would be issued by the commission based on personal use market demands and medical use patient needs.

Concerning the above described alternative treatment centers deemed from the onset to hold cannabis licenses and actually issued licenses based upon local approval, after a period no greater than one year from the date that retail sales by licensed cannabis retailers have begun, all such centers, in order to continue their operations concerning personal use cannabis, would be required to submit a certification, prior to the date that a cannabis license was set to expire, as to the continued material accuracy of their previously approved medical permit application to the Department of Health, and their compliance with the provisions of this bill as required by the commission. The certification would also need to be supported by a new written approval from the local governmental entity in order for the commission to renew a license for continued personal use operations.

Cannabis Consumption Areas

A licensed cannabis retailer, or an alternative treatment center that has a permit to dispense medical cannabis pursuant to the “Jake Honig
Compassionate Use Medical Cannabis Act” may apply to the commission seeking an endorsement to operate a cannabis consumption area at which the on-premises consumption of personal use or medical cannabis could occur. Along with the commission’s endorsement, the local governmental entity in which the consumption area would operate would also review the application and have to provide a local endorsement.

An endorsed cannabis retailer could only allow the consumption of personal use cannabis at its consumption area. An alternative treatment center could only allow the consumption of medical cannabis at its consumption area, unless it was also deemed during the transition period to the legal cannabis market (see above) to have one or more Class 4 Cannabis Retailer licenses and actually issued a license or licenses, or had otherwise been issued such a license by the commission, in which case both personal use and medical cannabis could be consumed.

An on-premises consumption area could either be indoors or outdoors. An indoor consumption area would be a structurally enclosed area within a cannabis retailer or alternative treatment center that is separated by solid walls or windows from the area in which retail sales of cannabis or the dispensing of medical cannabis occurs, would only be accessible through an interior door after first entering the retailer or center, and would need to comply with all ventilation requirements applicable to cigar lounges under the “New Jersey Smoke-Free Air Act,” P.L.2005, c.383 (C.26:3D-55 et seq.). An outdoor consumption area would be an exterior structure on the same premises as the cannabis retailer or alternative treatment center, that is either separate from or connected to the retailer or center and that is not required to be completely enclosed, but would need to have enough walls, fences, or other barriers to prevent any view of persons consuming personal use cannabis items or medical cannabis from any sidewalk or other pedestrian or non-motorist right-of-way; and with respect to any consumption by smoking at an outdoor area, the cannabis retailer or alternative treatment center would need to ensure that any smoking does not result in migration, seepage, or recirculation of smoke to any indoor public place or workplace.

**Taxation and Other Business Treatment of Cannabis Establishments**

The bill would impose a State-level excise tax on the sale or transfer of cannabis by a cannabis grower to any other licensed cannabis establishment as follows: any part of the bud and flower would be taxed at $42 an ounce; and the remainder of the plant would be taxed at a rate to be determined by the commission, which could not exceed $42 an ounce. Any fractional portion of an ounce sold or transferred would be taxed proportionately. This tax would be the only form of tax imposed on the grower’s transactions, as these transactions would be exempt from the State’s general sales tax imposed under the “Sales and Use Tax Act,” P.L.1966, c.30
(C.54:32B-1 et seq.). The commission would be specifically tasked with reviewing this tax and, around the third anniversary of the first date that retail sales were authorized, presenting an evaluation to the Governor and Legislature as to whether the tax should remain the same or be reduced in order to continue or enhance the goals of supporting the legal personal use marketplace, undercutting illegal marijuana and hashish market prices, discouraging use, particularly by persons under 21 years of age, and maximizing the use of the taxation revenue.

Cannabis growers would be required to collect or pay the tax and remit the monies to the Division of Taxation in the Department of the Treasury, and the tax would have to be reported and paid on a monthly basis. The revenue from the State-level excise tax would be deposited into a new fund, referred to as the “Cannabis Regulatory and Expungement Aid Modernization Fund.” This fund would be used for several purposes, including: to pay for the operational costs of the commission; to defray costs, in an amount determined by the Legislature, associated with the filing and review of expedited expungement applications, a new process established by the bill for persons previously arrested, charged, or convicted for distribution of small amounts of marijuana or hashish, or possession or using drug paraphernalia with small amounts of marijuana or hashish (further discussed below); and reimburse expenses incurred by any county or municipality for the training costs associated with the attendance and participation of a police officer in a Drug Recognition Expert program for detecting, identifying, and apprehending drug-impaired motor vehicle operators.

The bill would also permit any local governmental entity to adopt an ordinance that authorized a local transfer tax. This transfer tax could be imposed on sales that occur within the local governmental entity: between a cannabis establishment that holds any class of cannabis license and another cannabis establishment that holds any class of cannabis license; between cannabis retailers and customers; or any combination thereof. The local governmental entity would have discretion to set the rate or rates of the transfer tax, but a rate could not exceed: two percent of the receipts from each sale by a cannabis grower; two percent of the receipts from each sale by a cannabis processor; one percent of the receipts from each sale by a cannabis wholesaler; and three percent of the receipts from each sale by a cannabis retailer. This tax would be applied in the form of an equivalent user tax on non-sale transactions between cannabis establishments operated by the same license holder. The local transfer tax or user tax would be collected by cannabis establishments and forwarded to the chief financial officer of the local governmental entity for use by that local governmental entity.

Neither the State-level excise tax, or local-level transfer or user tax would apply to medical cannabis dispensed pursuant to the “Jake Honig Compassionate Use Medical Cannabis Act.”
Concerning other business treatment of any licensed establishment:

(1) A cannabis grower would be prohibited from operating or being located on any land that is valued, assessed, or taxed as an agricultural or horticultural use pursuant to the “Farmland Assessment Act of 1964,” P.L. 1964, c.48 (C.54:4-23.1 et seq.);

(2) A property owner, developer, or operator of a project to be used, in whole or in part, as any class of cannabis establishment would not be eligible for a State or local economic incentive during the period of time that the economic incentive is in effect;

(3) The issuance of a license to operate as any class of cannabis establishment to a person or entity that has been awarded a State or local economic incentive would invalidate the right of the person or entity to benefit from the economic incentive as of the date of issuance of the license; and

(4) The issuance of a license to operate as any class of cannabis establishment at a location that is the subject of a State or local economic incentive would invalidate the right of a property owner, developer, or operator to benefit from the economic incentive as of the date of issuance of the license.

Legalized, Decriminalized, and Prohibited Activities Concerning the Consumption of Personal Use Cannabis Items

Once the provisions for the lawful personal use of cannabis items become operative and retail sales of cannabis items have begun, the following acts would not be an offense under the “New Jersey Code of Criminal Justice,” Title 2C of the New Jersey Statutes, for a person 21 years of age or older:

(1) Possessing, purchasing, or transporting: cannabis paraphernalia; one ounce or less of cannabis; the equivalent of one ounce or less of cannabis infused product in solid, liquid, or concentrate form, based upon an equivalency calculation for different product forms set by the commission in its regulations; or five grams or less of cannabis resin;

(2) Transferring any cannabis item in any amount described above to another person 21 years of age or older, so long as the transfer is for non-promotional, non-business purposes; and

(3) Consuming any lawfully acquired cannabis item, provided that nothing in the bill is intended to permit a person to smoke or otherwise consume a cannabis item in a public place, other than a designated consumption area as detailed above.

A person possessing, purchasing, transporting, or transferring to another at any one time any cannabis or resin in an amount greater than as permitted, or an infused product in solid, liquid, or concentrate form with more than the equivalency permitted would generally be considered a violation of the “Comprehensive Drug Reform Act of 1987,” P.L. 1987, c.106 (N.J.S.2C:35-1 et al.), and subject the person to a civil penalty or prosecution as if the person possessed, purchased, transported, or transferred illegal marijuana or hashish in violation of that act, as amended by the bill.
In addition to establishing the legalized amounts of possession for personal use cannabis items, the bill would decriminalize the possession of small amounts of illegal marijuana or hashish. Possession of more than 50 grams of marijuana, or more than five grams of hashish, in violation of paragraph (3) of subsection a. of N.J.S.2C:35-10 would be reduced from a crime of the fourth degree to a disorderly persons offense (punishable by imprisonment for up to six months, a fine of up to $1,000, or both). Possession of 50 grams or less of marijuana, or five grams or less of hashish, in violation of paragraph (4) of subsection a. of that section would be reduced from a disorderly persons offense to an unlawful act subject only to a civil penalty of $50. By making this small level possession offense an unlawful act only subject to a civil penalty, the act will no longer be considered an “offense,” under N.J.S.2C:35-10, for which a court may impose an additional sentence of community service when committed on or within 1000 feet of any elementary or secondary school property. An offense under the “New Jersey Code of Criminal Justice,” Title 2C of the New Jersey Statutes, is generally defined in N.J.S.2C:1-14, subsection k., as “a crime, a disorderly persons offense or a petty disorderly person offense,” which does not encompass an “unlawful act” subject only to a civil penalty.

Besides decriminalization, the bill would establish a legal presumption that the possession of marijuana or hashish, or distribution of such, is the lawful possession or transfer of cannabis or cannabis resin, so long as the amount possessed or distributed does not exceed the lawful amount of cannabis or cannabis resin a person may possess or transfer under the bill. Such marijuana or hashish possession or distribution alone would not constitute reasonable articulable suspicion of an illegal act subject to punishment under the “Comprehensive Drug Reform Act of 1987.”

With respect to consumption, the smoking of a cannabis item would be prohibited in any place pursuant to law that prohibits the smoking of tobacco, including the “New Jersey Smoke-Free Air Act,” P.L.2005, c.383 (C.26:3D-55 et seq.), as well as any “indoor public place” as defined in that act (even if such a place is otherwise permitted to allow the smoking of tobacco), except that smoking would be permitted in a designated consumption area or in up to 20 percent of the guest rooms of a hotel, motel, or other lodging establishment as permitted by the person or entity that owns or controls that establishment. The smoking of cannabis items could also be prohibited in private multifamily housing, as decided by the person or entity that owns or controls the housing, and prohibited in the units of a condominium, if approved by its association and a majority of all of the unit owners. Any fines or civil penalties that could be assessed for the smoking of tobacco where prohibited under the “New Jersey Smoke-Free Air Act” would be applicable to the smoking of cannabis where prohibited under this bill, other than smoking on elementary or secondary school property, which would be classified as a disorderly
persons offense (punishable by imprisonment for up to six months, a fine of up to $1,000, or both).

As to consumption other than by smoking: a person or entity that owns or controls a property, except for multifamily housing, a unit of a condominium, or a site in a mobile home park on which a manufactured home is located, could prohibit or otherwise regulate consumption on or in that property; and a local governmental entity would be empowered to enact an ordinance making it unlawful for any person 21 years of age or older to consume any cannabis item in a public place, other than school property (punishable as a disorderly persons offense), and the ordinance could provide for a civil penalty of up to $200 per violation. The bill would also prohibit consumption in any area of any building of, on the grounds of, or in any facility owned, leased, or controlled by, any public or private institution of higher education or a related entity thereof, regardless of whether the area or facility is an indoor place or outdoors, and the penalty provisions of the “New Jersey Smoke-Free Air Act” would be applicable for a violation.

Mere possession of a cannabis item (in addition to consuming such item) on elementary or secondary school property by a person of legal age to purchase such item would be a disorderly persons offense, as is the case currently with respect to the unauthorized possession of alcohol on such property (punishable by imprisonment for up to six months, a fine of up to $1,000, or both). Additionally, similar to the statutory law’s treatment of the possession of an “open container” of alcohol, or consumption of alcohol, while operating or a passenger in a motor vehicle, the bill would amend relevant laws in Title 39 of the Revised Statutes to make it a motor vehicle offense to possess an “open container” or “open package” of a cannabis item, or to consume a cannabis item, in a motor vehicle. A first offense would be a fine of $200, and a subsequent offense would be a fine of $250 plus imposition of a period of community service, the same penalties applied to violations involving an alcoholic beverage.

Regarding the possession or consumption of a cannabis item by a person under the legal age to purchase cannabis, the bill expands the current laws addressing underage possession or consumption of alcoholic beverages to include cannabis items:

-for possession, in a public place, of an amount that may be lawfully possessed by a person of legal age to purchase cannabis items, a first offense would be a petty disorderly persons offense, subject to a fine of not less than $250;

-for possession, on private property, of an amount that may be lawfully possessed by a person of legal age to purchase cannabis items, a first offense would be a civil penalty of $100, and a second offense would be a civil penalty of $200; a third or subsequent offense would be a municipal fine of $350, which is the same as a subsequent offense for possession of an alcoholic beverage on private property; for possession, on private property, of an amount of cannabis items
that exceeds what may be lawfully possessed, or consumption on private property, a first offense would be a municipal fine of $250, and a second or subsequent offense would be a municipal fine of $350 (the same penalties as applicable to possession or consumption of an alcoholic beverage).

It would also be unlawful, generally punishable as a $50 civil penalty, for an underage person to present a false identification in order to enter a cannabis establishment or obtain cannabis items; this would differ than using a false identification with respect to alcoholic beverages, which is expressly noted in State law as not constituting an offense and therefore carries with it no statutory punishment.

De-scheduling Marijuana as a Schedule I Controlled Dangerous Substance

On and after the effective date of the bill, marijuana would no longer be included as a Schedule I controlled dangerous substance, which are substances considered to have a high potential for abuse and no accepted medical use, as described in the “New Jersey Controlled Dangerous Substances Act,” P.L.1970, c.226 (C.24:21-1 et al.). The bill also expressly states that marijuana may not be designated or rescheduled and included in any other schedule by the Director of the Division of Consumer Affairs in the Department of Law and Public Safety pursuant to the director’s designation and rescheduling authority set forth in section 3 of P.L.1970, c.226 (C.24:21-3).

Past Offenses Deemed Not to Have Occurred, Expedited Expungements, and Other Forms of Legal Relief

Beginning immediately upon the enactment of the bill, any arrest, charge, conviction, or adjudication of delinquency, and proceedings related thereto, for any of the following that occurred prior to the bill’s effective date would be deemed not to have occurred (other than, generally, with respect to the consequences of any sentence set forth in a judgment of conviction):

- unlawful distribution of, or possessing or having under control with intent to distribute, less than five pounds of marijuana, or less than one pound of hashish, in violation of paragraph (11) or (12) of subsection b. of N.J.S.2C:35-5, or a violation of either of those paragraphs and a violation of subsection a. of section 1 of P.L.1987, c.101 (C.2C:35-7) or subsection a. of section 1 of P.L.1997, c.327 (C.2C:35-7.1), for distributing, or possessing or having under control with intent to distribute, on or within 1,000 feet of any school property, or on or within 500 feet of the real property comprising a public housing facility, public park, or public building;

- obtaining, possessing, using, being under the influence of, or failing to make lawful disposition of any amount of marijuana or hashish in violation of paragraph (3) or (4) of subsection a., subsection b., or subsection c. of N.J.S.2C:35-10; or

- a violation involving any of the aforementioned offenses and using or possessing with intent to use drug paraphernalia with that marijuana or hashish in violation of N.J.S.2C:36-2
While persons would be able to respond to questions about such past occurrences accordingly, information about such would still need to be revealed if seeking employment within the judicial branch or with a law enforcement or corrections agency, and the record would be subject to review in accordance with N.J.S.2C:52-15 et seq.

Also beginning on that date, as an additional means of clearing a person’s record with respect to those same marijuana or hashish offenses, an expedited expungement process would be available. There would be no waiting period before becoming eligible for the expedited expungement, and no fee would be charged to a person making the expungement application.

The Administrative Office of the Courts would also develop an expungement e-filing system, to be used in the future for all expungement filings, which upon implementation would additionally provide for electronic service of process and document management. Electronic distribution of notices for expungement relief and copies of expungement orders to appropriate criminal justice agencies would also be done by the courts.

As to the regular expungement process for other crimes and disorderly persons offenses, a person’s eligibility based upon not exceeding the statutory caps on convictions of record is modified concerning how the aforementioned marijuana and hashish distribution, possession, and drug paraphernalia offenses are counted. If the offense was a crime, it would be considered a lesser conviction of a disorderly persons offense instead of a criminal conviction; this conviction would thus only count against the statutory cap on disorderly persons convictions, for which more convictions of record are permitted while still maintaining expungement eligibility. If the offense was a disorderly persons offense, such convictions would not be counted at all towards any eligibility cap.

Additionally, except to the extent required to dismiss, withdraw, or terminate the charge, no court would have jurisdiction over any charge, including any charge of delinquency, based on a violation of any of the aforementioned marijuana and hashish distribution, possession, and drug paraphernalia offenses that occurred prior to the bill’s effective date, unless a final judgment of conviction or adjudication of delinquency has been entered on or before that effective date. These non-prosecutable charges and cases would be expeditiously dismissed, which could be accomplished by appropriate action by a law enforcement agency, or on a motion to the court with jurisdiction over a case, or the court’s own motion, based upon guidelines or directives issued by the Attorney General and the Administrative Director of the Courts.

Any charge, conviction, or adjudication of delinquency for the aforementioned marijuana and hashish offenses would also not be considered whenever the Pretrial Services Program established by the Administrative Office of the Courts conducted a risk assessment on person for the purpose of making recommendations to a court about an
appropriate pretrial release or pretrial detention decision for that individual in accordance with sections 1 through 11 of P.L.2014, c.31 (C.2A:162-15 et seq.). As a final form of criminal justice relief, the bill would establish grounds for post-conviction relief due to a conviction or adjudication of delinquency for any of the aforementioned marijuana and hashish offenses, which would permit an opportunity to have a sentence reduced or changed as permitted by the court.

Beyond criminal justice relief, the bill would provide an array of legal protections against discrimination targeting persons with an arrest, charge, conviction, or adjudication of delinquency involving any of the aforementioned marijuana and hashish distribution, possession, and drug paraphernalia offenses. These protections would include monetary penalties, enforceable by the State, against employers regarding employment actions or persons involved with mortgage lending activities, as well as a private cause of action for discrimination in public or private housing, real property, or any place of public accommodation.

Further, regarding any of the aforementioned offenses, the bill would permit persons currently subject to parole or probation as a result of a criminal conviction for any such offense to remain eligible to vote, and would make confidential, and no longer a government record subject to public inspection under P.L.1963, c.73 (C.47:1A-1 et seq.), the portion of any criminal record concerning a person’s detection, apprehension, arrest, detention, trial or disposition for any such offense.

**Reporting Requirements by the Commission**

The commission would biannually report to the Governor and Legislature regarding the commission’s regulation and enforcement activities associated with the personal use of cannabis pursuant to the bill and the medical use of cannabis pursuant to the “Jake Honig Compassionate Use Medical Cannabis Act.” The biannual report would include information on: the number of criminal arrests or charges for small amount marijuana or hashish possession or distribution, cataloged by the jurisdictions in which the acts resulting in the citations, arrests, or charges occurred, and the race, ethnicity, gender, and age of the persons cited, arrested, or charged; the number of motor vehicle stops by law enforcement, catalogued in the same manner; the total number of personal use cannabis licenses and medical use cannabis permits issued since the distribution of the previous report to the Governor and Legislature, as well as the number for each class of license and permit issued; the total number and type of applicants that submitted applications for licenses and permits and whether they were approved, reapproved, or denied, plus data compiled by the Office of Minority, Disabled Veterans, and Women Cannabis Business Development about participation in the lawful operation of cannabis businesses by persons from socially and economically disadvantaged communities, as well as minority owned,
disabled veterans’ owned, and women’s owned business development in the personal use cannabis and medical use cannabis marketplaces; and the total amount of tax revenue generated by the State-level excise tax on personal use cannabis collected by the State, and any optional local-level transfer tax and user tax collected by local governmental entities.

**Review of the Commission by a Public Research University**

Lastly, beginning on the third anniversary of the commission’s first organizational meeting, one of the State’s public research universities, contracting by the commission, would engage in a study of the commission’s organization, and regulation and enforcement activities, with a focus on the commission’s effectiveness as established and operating pursuant to the bill, and whether a better execution of the laws concerning the personal use of cannabis and medical use of cannabis could be more effectively managed, and more efficiently promoted through a reorganization of the commission, consolidation of the commission within the Department of the Treasury or another Executive Branch department, change to a part-time commission, or the transfer of some or all of the commission’s operations elsewhere within the Executive Branch. Any study recommendations would be designed to take effect beginning on the fifth anniversary of the commission’s first meeting. The university’s findings would be issued in a report to the Governor and Legislature, after which they would take any administrative and legislative action, respectively, concerning the continuation, modification, or abolition of the commission or its operations as recommended by the university.