SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION No. 62

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

218th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED FEBRUARY 1, 2018

Sponsored by:

Senator MICHAEL J. DOHERTY

District 23 (Hunterdon, Somerset and Warren)

SYNOPSIS

Proposes constitutional amendment to eliminate judicial review of arbitration rulings in disputes between Judiciary and county concerning court facilities.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



1	A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION proposing to add a new Section IX
2	to Article VI of the Constitution of the State of New Jersey.
3	
4	BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate of the State of New Jersey (the
5	General Assembly concurring):
6	
7	1. The following proposed amendment to the Constitution of
8	the State of New Jersey is hereby agreed to:
9	
10	PROPOSED AMENDMENT
11	
12	Amend Article VI by adding a new Section IX to read as
13	follows:
14	When a dispute arises between the Judiciary and a county
15	regarding budgetary costs relating to court facilities and the matter
16	is referred to arbitration, the decision of the arbitrators shall be
17	final, binding, and not subject to review by the Supreme Court.
18	
19	2. When this proposed amendment to the Constitution is finally
20	agreed to pursuant to Article IX, paragraph 1 of the Constitution, it
21	shall be submitted to the people at the next general election
22	occurring more than three months after the final agreement and
23	shall be published at least once in at least one newspaper of each
24	county designated by the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the
25	General Assembly and the Secretary of State, not less than three
26	months prior to the general election.
27	
28	3. This proposed amendment to the Constitution shall be
29	submitted to the people at that election in the following manner and
30	form:
31	There shall be printed on each official ballot to be used at the
32	general election, the following:
33	a. In every municipality in which voting machines are not used,
34	a legend which shall immediately precede the question as follows:
35	If you favor the proposition printed below make a cross (X), plus
36	(+), or check (♥) in the square opposite the word "Yes." If you are
37	opposed thereto make a cross (X), plus (+) or check (*) in the
38	square opposite the word "No."

b. In every municipality the following question:

39

	1	T
		CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT TO
		ELIMINATE JUDICIAL REVIEW OF
		ARBITRATION RULINGS IN DISPUTES
		BETWEEN JUDICIARY AND COUNTY
		CONCERNING COURT FACILITIES
		Do you approve amending the
		Constitution to eliminate Supreme Court
	YES	review of an arbitrators' ruling in disputes
		between the court and a county about the
		cost of court facilities?
		INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT
		Current law provides that counties are
	NO	_
		responsible for the cost of court facilities.
		In the event of a dispute between a county
		and the courts concerning court facilities,
		the dispute may be referred to arbitration.
		Currently, the decision of the arbitrators is
		not binding and can be changed by the
		Supreme Court. This amendment removes
		the ability of the Supreme Court to change
		the arbitrators' ruling. The arbitrators'
		decision would be final.
		A "yes" vote would amend the
		Constitution to prevent the Supreme Court
		from changing an arbitration decision.
		A "no" vote would leave the Constitution
		unchanged. The Supreme Court would be
		able to change the arbitrators' decision.

STATEMENT

This constitutional amendment would eliminate the authority of the New Jersey Supreme Court to review and change arbitrators' decisions in cases involving courthouse facility disputes between the Judiciary and county governing bodies.

Under current law, the counties are responsible for the costs of construction and renovation of courthouse facilities. In some instances, disputes arise between the counties and the Judiciary concerning factors such as the design or construction of specific facilities. The Rules of Court provide that a dispute between the county governing body and the Judiciary can be submitted to arbitration, at the request of either party. According to the Rules of Court, the decision of the arbitrator is subject to review by the

Supreme Court and can be vacated, modified or corrected if the

SCR62 DOHERTY

4

- 1 court determines that "the decision fails to balance the needs and
- 2 interest of the county and the Judiciary in a fair manner."
- 3 Effectively, this means that the Judiciary can overturn a decision in
- 4 which the Judiciary is a party based upon the Constitutional
- 5 authority of the Supreme Court over "practice and procedure" in the
- 6 State courts. Adoption of this amendment would end the Supreme
- 7 Court's ability to overturn the arbitrators' decision.