# ASSEMBLY CONCURRENT RESOLUTION No. 117

# STATE OF NEW JERSEY 219th LEGISLATURE

**INTRODUCED FEBRUARY 3, 2020** 

Sponsored by: Assemblyman ERIC HOUGHTALING District 11 (Monmouth) Assemblyman RONALD S. DANCER District 12 (Burlington, Middlesex, Monmouth and Ocean) Assemblywoman CAROL A. MURPHY District 7 (Burlington)

#### **SYNOPSIS**

Determines that State Agriculture Development Committee rule to implement pilot program allowing certain wineries to hold special events is inconsistent with legislative intent.

## **CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT**

As introduced.



(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 2/20/2020)

2

A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION concerning legislative review of the
 State Agriculture Development Committee rules and regulations
 pursuant to Article V, Section IV, paragraph 6 of the
 Constitution of the State of New Jersey.

5

6 WHEREAS, Article V, Section IV, paragraph 6 of the Constitution of
7 the State of New Jersey provides that the Legislature may review
8 any rule or regulation of an administrative agency to determine if
9 the rule or regulation is consistent with the intent of the Legislature
10 and, upon a finding that the rule or regulation is not consistent with
11 legislative intent, may transmit such finding to the Governor and
12 the head of the agency; and

13 WHEREAS, Upon finding that a rule or regulation, either proposed or 14 adopted, is not consistent with legislative intent, Article V, Section 15 IV, paragraph 6 provides that the Legislature shall transmit its 16 findings in the form of a concurrent resolution to the Governor and 17 the head of the Executive Branch agency which promulgated, or 18 plans to promulgate, the rule or regulation, and the agency shall 19 have 30 days from the time the concurrent resolution is transmitted 20 to amend or withdraw the rule or regulation; and

21 WHEREAS, If the agency does not amend or withdraw the existing or 22 proposed rule or regulation, Article V, Section IV, paragraph 6 23 provides that the Legislature may invalidate or prohibit the adoption 24 of the proposed rule or regulation, following a public hearing held 25 by either House on the invalidation or prohibition, the placement of 26 a transcript of the public hearing on the desks of the members of 27 each House of the Legislature in open meeting followed by the 28 passage of at least 20 calendar days, and a vote of a majority of the 29 authorized membership of each House in favor of a concurrent 30 resolution invalidating or prohibiting the adoption of the rule or 31 regulation; and

WHEREAS, On March 27, 2014, the New Jersey State Legislature
passed Senate Bill No. 837 (1R), which allowed special occasion
events, including weddings and other life milestones, to be
conducted on a winery premises in order to promote agritourism
under the State's Right to Farm Act and the Farmland Preservation
Program; and

WHEREAS, On May 12, 2014, Governor Christie exercised his
authority pursuant to Article V, Section I, Paragraph 14 of the New
Jersey Constitution to conditionally veto Senate Bill No. 837 (1R)
and recommend specific amendments for consideration by the
Legislature; and

WHEREAS, The amendments recommended in the conditional veto
directed the State Agriculture Development Committee (SADC) to
establish by regulation a pilot program to permit special occasion
events to be conducted on New Jersey wineries that are located on
preserved farmland; and

3

1 WHEREAS, In his conditional veto message, the Governor expressed 2 his shared desire with the Legislature "to help the State's forty eight 3 licensed wineries to flourish"; and 4 WHEREAS, The conditional veto message further expressed the 5 intention to allow New Jersey wineries "to continue to gain national 6 recognition for their quality, sophistication, and enjoyment"; and 7 WHEREAS, The conditional veto message also commented that "as 8 New Jersey's wine production grows, the State will become an even 9 bigger destination for cultural and personal events"; and 10 WHEREAS, Relying on the intention to promote New Jersey wineries 11 expressed in the Governor's conditional veto message, the 12 Legislation reconsidered and passed Senate Bill No. 837 (1R) with the Governor's recommended amendments on June 26, 2014 and it 13 14 was thereafter enacted as P.L.2014, c.16 (C.4:1C-32.7 et seq.) on 15 July 2, 2014; and 16 WHEREAS, P.L.2014, c.16 (C.4:1C-32.7 et seq.) required the SADC to 17 establish a pilot program permitting special occasion events to be 18 conducted on preserved farmland at a winery, gave the county agriculture development board or the SADC the authority to audit 19 20 theses wineries for the purpose of a compliance with the program, 21 and established penalties for failing to comply with the program 22 requirements; and 23 WHEREAS, Pursuant to section 5 of P.L.2014, c.16 (C.4:1C-32.11), the SADC proposed a new rule on August 7, 2017 entitled "Winery 24 25 Special Events on Preserved Farmland" and on October 26, 2017 26 the rule was adopted as N.J.A.C. 2:76-27 et seq.; and 27 WHEREAS, N.J.A.C. 2:76-27 et seq. imposes onerous and burdensome 28 registration, compliance, income certification, and financial 29 reporting requirements on wineries operating on preserved farmland 30 that frustrate and undermine the intention expressed in the 31 conditional veto statement to Senate Bill No. 837 (1R); and 32 WHEREAS, As part of the registration procedure, N.J.A.C 2:76-27 et 33 seq. requires winery owners or operators to submit a property 34 survey or site plan prepared by a New Jersey-licensed surveyor or 35 engineer and provide by March 31 of each year a list of all special 36 occasion events for the previous calendar year including the event 37 name, number of attendees, location on the premises, and event 38 frequency and dates; and 39 WHEREAS, A winery that fails to fully complete the registration form 40 may be subject to civil penalties, which include a civil penalty of up 41 to \$1,000 for a first offense, \$2,000 for a second offense, and 42 \$3,000 for a third or subsequent offense; and WHEREAS, N.J.A.C. 2:76-27.6 establishes a detailed certification of 43 44 income procedure that was not contemplated by Senate Bill No. 837 45 (1R) and requires a full itemized accounting of winery sales made 46 on and off the licensed premises, which far exceeds the statutory 47 requirement that gross sales from income generated by the winery

4

1 from special occasion events account for less than 50 percent of the 2 winery's annual gross income; and 3 WHEREAS, In an interim report to the Legislature and Governor by the 4 New Jersey SADC on June 2018 regarding the progress of the pilot 5 program it was acknowledged that "some wineries indicate that the reporting and documentation requirements are overly burdensome 6 7 and counter to efficient use of their resources"; and 8 WHEREAS, These stringent registration and income reporting 9 requirements in addition to the other requirements imposed by 10 N.J.A.C 2:76-27 et seq. inhibit the ability of wineries located on 11 preserved farmland from holding special occasion events and 12 contravene the intention expressed in the conditional veto message 13 of Senate Bill No. 837 (1R), which is to allow "licensed wineries to 14 flourish" and "continue to gain national recognition for their 15 quality, sophistication, and enjoyment"; and 16 WHEREAS, Section 2 of P.L.2018, c.30 (C.4:1C-32.13) requires the 17 SADC to submit to the Governor and Legislature an interim and 18 final report to review the implementation and operation of the pilot program and summarize the activities of wineries on preserved 19 20 farmland in their respective counties; and 21 WHEREAS, The SADC has circulated for discussion purposes a final 22 draft report that proposes to extend the report's parameters from 23 wineries located on preserved farmland to all preserved farms, 24 those with and without wineries on the premises; and 25 WHEREAS, A final report that addresses all preserved farms would fall 26 outside of the scope of the Legislative mandate requiring the SADC 27 to address the pilot program and the activities of wineries on 28 preserved farmland; and 29 WHEREAS, The regulations adopted by the New Jersey SADC to 30 implement a pilot program allowing wineries on preserved 31 farmland to hold special events are overly burdensome and undermine the intent of the Legislature to promote the burgeoning 32 33 wine industry in this State; and 34 WHEREAS, Statutory law requires the final report by the SADC to address the pilot program and the activities of wineries on 35 preserved farmland, rather than all preserved farms; now, therefore, 36 37 38 **BE IT RESOLVED** by the General Assembly of the State of New 39 Jersey (the Senate concurring): 40 41 1. The Legislature declares that N.J.A.C. 2:76-27 et seq. adopted by the New Jersey State Agriculture Development 42 Committee to implement a pilot program allowing wineries on 43 44 preserved farm land to hold special events is inconsistent with 45 legislative intent. 46 47 2. Copies of this concurrent resolution, as filed with the 48 Secretary of State, shall be transmitted by the Clerk of the General

5

Assembly or the Secretary of the Senate to the Governor, Secretary
 of Agriculture, and the chair of the State Agriculture Development
 Committee.

4

5 3. Pursuant to Article V, Section IV, paragraph 6 of the 6 Constitution of the State of New Jersey, the State Agriculture 7 Development Committee shall have 30 days following transmittal of 8 this resolution to amend or withdraw the adopted rules and regulations 9 or the Legislature may, by passage of another concurrent resolution, 10 exercise its authority under the Constitution to invalidate the rules and 11 regulations in whole or in part.

12 13

14

15

## STATEMENT

16 This concurrent resolution embodies the finding of the 17 Legislature that the State Agriculture Development Committee 18 regulations entitled "Winery Special Events on Preserved 19 Farmland" and adopted as N.J.A.C. 2:76-27 et seq. are not 20 consistent with the intent of the Legislature.

The State Agriculture Development Committee will have 30 days from the date of transmittal of this resolution to amend or withdraw the rules and regulations or the Legislature may, by passage of another concurrent resolution, exercise its authority under the Constitution to invalidate the rules and regulations in whole or in part.