ASSEMBLY, No. 5895

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

219th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED JUNE 14, 2021

Sponsored by: Assemblyman THOMAS P. GIBLIN District 34 (Essex and Passaic)

SYNOPSIS

Concerns construction code enforcing agency fee revenue.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As introduced.



1	AN ACT concerning enforcing agency fees under the "State Uniform
2	Construction Code Act," P.L.1975, c.217 (C.52:27D-119 et.
3	seq.), and amending P.L.1979, c.121.
4	
5	BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State
6	of New Jersey:
7	
8	1. Section 3 of P.L.1979, c.121 (C.52:27D-126a) is amended to
9	read as follows:
10	3. <u>a.</u> Where the appointing authority of any municipality shall
11	appoint an enforcing agency and construction board of appeals
12	pursuant to section 8 of P.L.1975, c.217 (C.52:27D-126), the
13	municipal governing body by ordinance, in accordance with
14	standards established by the commissioner, shall set enforcing
15	agency fees for plan review, construction permit, certificate of
16	occupancy, demolition permit, moving of building permit, elevator
17	permit and sign permit, provided, however, that such fees shall not
18	exceed the annual costs for the operation of the enforcing agency.
19	For the three year period commencing with an enforcing
20	agency's initial participation in the "Electronic Permit Processing
21	Review System," developed and implemented pursuant to section 1
22	of P.L.2021, c.70 (C.52:27D-124.4 et al), the municipal governing
23	body may impose, and the enforcing agency may collect,
24	construction permit surcharge fees to defray the enforcing agency's
25	startup costs related to offering electronic plan review and
26	scheduling. Surcharge fees shall be established in accordance with
27	standards established by the commissioner.
28	b. In any fiscal year in which the total amount of fees collected
29	by an enforcing agency exceeds, by 12 percent or more, the costs of
30	operating the enforcing agency, as described in subsection (c) of
31	N.J.A.C.5:23-4.17 on the effective date of
32	P.L. , c. (C.) (pending before the Legislature as this
33	bill) and as appropriated in the adopted municipal budget, the
34	amount of fees collected in excess of 112 percent of the total cost
35	shall lapse to the municipality's current fund balance, provided that
36	all enforcing agency fees, and all enforcing agency operations,
37	procedures, and inspections, fully comply with all of the provisions
38	of P.L.1975, c.217 (C.52:27D-119 et seq.).
39	(cf: P.L.2021, c.70, s.3)
40	(01. 1.12.2021, 0.70, 5.3)
41	2. This act shall take effect immediately.
42	2. This act shall take effect ininicatatory.
43	
44	STATEMENT
45	DIMILIVILINI
46	This bill would amend the "State Uniform Construction Code
TU	This off would affect the State Official Constitution Code

 $\textbf{EXPLANATION}-\textbf{Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets} \ \ \textbf{[} \ \textbf{thus} \ \textbf{]} \ \textbf{in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.}$

Act," P.L.1975, c.217 (C.52:27D-119 et seq.) to require the transfer of excess municipal enforcing agency fees from the municipal enforcing agency to the municipality's surplus.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

The bill would require that in any fiscal year in which the total amount of fees collected by an enforcing agency exceeds, by 12 percent or more, the total cost to the municipality of operating the enforcing agency, as appropriated in the adopted municipal budget, the amount of fees collected in excess of 112 percent of the total cost would lapse to the municipality's current fund balance, provided that all enforcing agency fees, and all enforcing agency operations, procedures, and inspections, fully comply with all of the provisions of P.L.1975, c.217 (C.52:27D-119 et seq.).

The provisions of the bill address an issue that often occurs when large-scale development projects are undertaken in municipalities.

Municipalities often generate fee revenue under the "State Uniform Construction Code Act" that exceeds the amount budgeted to operate the municipal code enforcement office when large scale construction occurs in association with the redevelopment of blighted properties, and the development of affordable housing transit, developments, mass government facilities, transformations of former retail malls and corporate headquarters. In these circumstances, the amounts generated through these fees reflect the large scale volume of a project, and the individual fees should not be characterized as excessive. The municipal code enforcement office is able to fully operate, perform its functions, and meet all the statutory requirements of the "State Uniform Construction Code Act" by utilizing a portion of the excess funds to compensate existing staff for increased hours worked, as well as to hire additional staff or third-party inspection professionals. These excess fee revenues are essentially "one-time," non-recurring revenues that disappear once the project generating them is completed.

Allowing a significant portion of those excess revenues to be transferred to the municipal fund balance would allow those revenues to be used for broader municipal purposes that would benefit municipal residents and construction permit applicants alike, including applicants for large-scale development projects from which the excess fee revenue is derived.