[First Reprint]

SENATE, No. 3416

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

219th LEGISLATURE

INTRODUCED FEBRUARY 9, 2021

Sponsored by:

Senator STEPHEN M. SWEENEY

District 3 (Cumberland, Gloucester and Salem)

Senator LORETTA WEINBERG

District 37 (Bergen)

Senator VIN GOPAL

District 11 (Monmouth)

Assemblywoman VALERIE VAINIERI HUTTLE

District 37 (Bergen)

Assemblywoman MILA M. JASEY

District 27 (Essex and Morris)

Assemblywoman ANNETTE QUIJANO

District 20 (Union)

Assemblyman ANDREW ZWICKER

District 16 (Hunterdon, Mercer, Middlesex and Somerset)

Assemblywoman JOANN DOWNEY

District 11 (Monmouth)

Co-Sponsored by:

Senators Lagana, Singleton, Stack, Ruiz, Assemblymen Johnson, Mukherji, McKeon, Danielsen, Assemblywomen Chaparro, Stanfield, Assemblyman Calabrese, Assemblywoman Murphy, Assemblymen Peters, Freiman, Assemblywomen Lampitt and Swain

SYNOPSIS

Codifies same-sex marriage in the statutes.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

As reported by the Senate Budget and Appropriations Committee on December 16, 2021, with amendments.

(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 12/20/2021)

1 AN ACT concerning marriage, amending R.S.37:1-1, repealing section 94 of P.L.2006, c.103, and supplementing Title 37 of the Revised Statutes.

4 5

BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State of New Jersey:

6 7 8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- 1. (New section) The Legislature finds and declares:
- 9 On September 27, 2013, Hon. Mary C. Jacobson, A.J.S.C. 10 ruled in Garden State Equality v. Dow, 434 N.J. Super. 163 (Law Div. 2013) that New Jersey's exclusion of same-sex couples from 11 12 civil marriage violated the equal protection guarantee of the New 13 Jersey Constitution. The New Jersey Supreme Court declined to 14 issue a stay of the lower court's order. Same-sex couples have been 15 getting married in New Jersey since October 18, 2013, the day the 16 State withdrew its appeal in the case.
 - b. Seven years before <u>Garden State Equality</u>, the New Jersey Supreme Court had ruled unanimously in <u>Lewis v. Harris</u>, 188 <u>N.J.</u> 415 (2006) that same-sex couples are entitled to all of the rights, privileges, and obligations of marriage as opposite-sex couples. In response to the <u>Lewis v. Harris</u> decision, the Legislature enacted P.L.2006, c.103 (C.37:1-28 et al.), which established same-sex civil unions in New Jersey.
 - c. The New Jersey Civil Union Review Commission created by the Legislature concluded in 2008, after significant public hearings and discussion, that same-sex civil unions provided unequal treatment to same-sex couples in the State. In addition, under federal law, same-sex civil union couples did not have access to federal benefits available to married couples.
- 30 d. Section 2 of P.L., c., amending N.J.S.37:1-1 (pending 31 before the Legislature as section 2 of this bill) is intended to bring 32 New Jersey statutory law into conformance with the 2013 decision 33 in Garden State Equality as well as the 2015 United States Supreme 34 Court decision in Obergefell et al. v. Hodges, Director, Ohio Department of Health, et al., 576 U.S. 644 (2015). Obergefell held 35 36 that same-sex marriage is a fundamental right and that all states are 37 required to allow same-sex couples to marry.
- e. This Legislature, in recognition of the New Jersey Supreme
 Court's unanimous holding in <u>Lewis</u> v. <u>Harris</u>; the New Jersey
 Superior Court's ruling in <u>Garden State Equality</u> v. <u>Dow</u>; and the
 United States Supreme Court's decision in <u>Obergefell</u> v. <u>Hodges</u>,
 and recognizing that New Jersey same-sex couples' right to marry
 has never been codified and made more secure by the enactment of
 a statute, finds that it is necessary to enact this legislation.

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

S3416 [1R] SWEENEY, WEINBERG

7

- 1 2. R.S.37:1-1 is amended to read as follows: 2 37:1-1. [Certain marriages] Marriages [or] and civil unions 3 [prohibited]. 4 a. [A man shall not marry or enter into a civil union with any 5 of his ancestors or descendants, or his sister or brother, or the 6 daughter or son of his brother or sister, or the sister or brother of his 7 father or mother, whether such collateral kindred be of the whole or half blood. I (Deleted by amendment, P.L., c.) (pending before 8 9 the Legislature as this bill)
- b. **[**A woman shall not marry or enter into a civil union with any of her ancestors or descendants, or her sister or brother, or the daughter or son of her brother or sister, or the sister or brother of her father or mother, whether such collateral kindred be of the whole or half blood. **[** (Deleted by amendment, P.L. , c.) (pending before the Legislature as this bill)
 - c. <u>Laws concerning marriage and civil union shall be read with</u> gender neutral intent.
- d. No person shall marry or enter into a civil union with any of
 the person's ancestors or descendants, or the person's ¹[sister or
 brother] sibling¹, or the ¹[daughter or son] child¹ of the person's

 1[brother or sister] sibling¹, or the ¹[sister or brother] sibling¹ of
 the person's ¹[father or mother] parent¹, whether such collateral
 kindred be of the whole or half blood.
- e. A marriage or civil union in violation of ¹ [any of the foregoing provisions] subsection d. of this section ¹ shall be absolutely void.
- 27 (cf: P.L.2006, c.103, s.6)

28

16

17

29 3. Section 94 of P.L.2006, c.103 (C.37:1-36) is repealed.

30

31 4. This act shall take effect immediately.