ASSEMBLY, No. 659

STATE OF NEW JERSEY

220th LEGISLATURE

PRE-FILED FOR INTRODUCTION IN THE 2022 SESSION

Sponsored by:

Assemblyman ANTHONY S. VERRELLI District 15 (Hunterdon and Mercer) Assemblyman ROBERT J. KARABINCHAK District 18 (Middlesex) Assemblyman RAJ MUKHERJI District 33 (Hudson)

SYNOPSIS

Authorizes common law public nuisance suits regarding lead paint under State law; exempts Attorney General from certain aspects of public nuisance claims when pursuing lead paint actions.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT

Introduced Pending Technical Review by Legislative Counsel.



(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 2/7/2022)

A659 VERRELLI, KARABINCHAK

2

1	AN ACT concerning public nuisance actions related to lead paint,
2	supplementing P.L.1971, c.366 (C.24:14A-1 et seq.), and
3	amending P.L.1987, c.197.
4	
5	BE IT ENACTED by the Senate and General Assembly of the State
6	of New Jersey:
7	
8	1. (New section) Nothing in P.L.1971, c.366 (C.24:14A-
9	1 et seq.) shall preclude recourse to any other remedy available
10	pursuant to common law for a violation of P.L.1971, c.366
11	(C.24:14A-1 et seq.) or for damages caused by the sale or
12	distribution of lead paint and the subsequent use of such lead paint
13	in dwellings.
14	
15	2. (New section) The Attorney General may bring an action
16	for damages against a former or present lead paint manufacturer
17	pursuant to a common law theory of public nuisance, and shall not
18	be limited to injunctive relief. The Attorney General need not
19	demonstrate that a defendant physically controls lead paint, or real
20	property that contains lead paint, in order to prevail in a common
21	law public nuisance claim based upon the sale or distribution of
22	lead paint, nor demonstrate a special injury in order to recover
23	damages or prevail in such an action.
24	
25	3. Section 6 of P.L.1987, c.197 (C.2A:58C-6) is amended to
26	read as follows:
27	6. The provisions of this act shall not apply to:
28	<u>a.</u> any environmental tort action ; or
29	b. a public nuisance claim brought pursuant to common law by
30	the Attorney General concerning lead paint.
31	(cf: P.L.1987, c.197, s.6)
32	
33	4. This bill shall take effect immediately and shall apply to
34	causes of action filed on or after the date of enactment.
35	
36	
37	STATEMENT
38	
39 40	This act would allow common law public nuisance suits under P.L.1971, c.366 (C.24:14A-1 et seq., and known as the "lead paint
41	act"), and exempt the Attorney General from certain elements of a
42	public nuisance claim when pursuing a public nuisance lead paint
43	claim. Specifically, the Attorney General would be permitted to
44	bring an action against a former or present lead paint manufacturer
45	pursuant to a theory of public nuisance and would not be limited to
	r to a mitory of paorie maisance and would not be infinited to

EXPLANATION – Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

A659 VERRELLI, KARABINCHAK

7

1 injunctive relief. The Attorney General would not be required to

2 demonstrate that a defendant physically controls lead paint, or real

3 property that contains lead paint, to prevail on a public nuisance

4 claim based upon the distribution of lead paint, nor demonstrate a

5 special injury in order to prevail in those actions. Additionally, the

6 bill provides that the exemption that already applies to

environmental tort actions in the law regulating product liability

8 actions, P.L.1987, c.197 (C.2A:58C-1 et seq.) would also apply to

9 actions concerning lead paint, when brought by the Attorney

10 General.

7

11 The New Jersey Supreme Court, in In re Lead Paint Litigation, 12 191 N.J. 405 (2007), held that a group of municipalities could not bring a common law public nuisance action against lead paint 13 14 manufacturers because (1) the lead paint act is the exclusive remedy 15 provided by the Legislature for lead paint actions and the act does 16 not allow for public nuisance claims against manufacturers; (2) 17 government entities cannot bring claims for damages under 18 common law public nuisance doctrine and may only seek injunctive 19 relief; and (3) that the law regulating product liability actions, 20 P.L.1987, c.197 (C.2A:58C-1 et seq.), does not exclude lead paint actions under its environmental tort exemption provisions, and that 21 22 a public nuisance action against lead paint manufacturers was 23 properly recognized as a products liability claim. The bill would 24 amend the lead paint act and the law regulating products liability 25 actions to allow the Attorney General to pursue public nuisance 26 claims against lead paint manufacturers, and to remove the 27 limitation on the relief available in actions brought by the Attorney 28 General.