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Borough of Woodbine School District

Scope

We have completed a forensic audit of the Borough of Woodbine School District for the period July 1, 2004 to June 30, 2007. Our audit included financial activities accounted for in the district's General Fund. Total reported expenditures were approximately $3.7 million in fiscal year 2005, $3.8 million in fiscal year 2006, and $3.9 million in fiscal year 2007. The district provides regular and special education programs to approximately 230 students in grades pre-kindergarten to eighth.

Objective

The objective of our audit was to determine the primary factors that contributed to the district's fiscal year 2005, fiscal year 2006, and fiscal year 2007 year-end General Fund deficits of $67,000, $58,000 and $67,000, respectively.


Methodology

Our audit was conducted in accordance with Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

In preparation for our testing we studied legislation, administrative code, and policies of the school district. We read school district budgets, reviewed financial trends, and interviewed school district personnel to obtain an understanding of the programs and the internal controls. We interviewed officials from the Cape May County Superintendent's Office to gain an understanding of their oversight and monitoring functions. In addition, we reviewed annual audit reports issued by the public school accountants contracted by the district. We also interviewed the accountants to gain an understanding of their responsibilities in the budget and reporting process.
A nonstatistical sampling approach was used. Our samples of financial transactions were designed to provide conclusions about the validity of transactions as well as internal control and compliance attributes. Transactions were judgmentally selected.

**Conclusions**

Voter disapproval of the school budgets required the administration to reduce spending. Budgets were reduced in 2006 and 2007 by $197,000 and $149,000, respectively. These budgets were subsequently overspent and the district ended each fiscal year in a deficit status. The overall deficit has not increased since fiscal year 2005.

Unanticipated expenditures, under budgeted accounts, an error in not voiding encumbrances, and the imposition of an administrative fine in fiscal year 2005 were the main causes for the General Fund deficits in fiscal years 2005, 2006 and 2007. It is important to note that despite positive actions to control costs deficits occurred which ranged from 1.7 percent to 2.0 percent of the General Fund budget.

We also noted weaknesses in financial and administrative controls which have been reported to management in a separate letter dated January 20, 2009.
Fiscal Year 2005 General Fund Deficit

The school district incurred $20,000 in expenses that were not included in the budget. Examples included an $11,000 unused leave payment to a retiring employee, $5,000 in interest, $3,000 in testing fees, and $1,650 in school board convention expenses.

In addition, judgments, settlements, and lawyer fees exceeded the budget by $43,000. Although costs in these categories for the prior three years averaged $108,000, only $24,000 was budgeted in fiscal year 2005.

Another factor impacting the deficit was the imposition of a $24,000 administrative fine by the Department of Education for higher than average per pupil administrative costs. This was primarily due to a decrease in student population from 283 to 237.

Fiscal Year 2006 General Fund Deficit

The district spent $329,000 for tuition and transportation costs for special needs students in fiscal year 2005. They budgeted only $314,000 for fiscal year 2006 and spent $549,000 thus exceeding the budget by $235,000. Expenses for special needs students can change due to increases or decreases in the number of students throughout the school year. The district was able to reduce costs in other areas which resulted in a reduction in the deficit from $67,000 at June 30, 2005 to $58,000 at June 30, 2006.

Fiscal Year 2007 General Fund Deficit

The district made an unbudgeted transfer of $13,000 to the cafeteria fund. In addition, the district voided encumbrances totaling $26,000 in July 2007. If these encumbrances were voided in June the deficit would have been reduced by this amount.
**Recommendation**

We recommend that the school district's expenses be analyzed more critically. All anticipated expenditures should be budgeted. When required, the current year budget should also account for prior year deficits. Additionally, encumbrances should be evaluated at year end and voided when commitments no longer exist.