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TO: MEMBERS OF THE SENATE BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

FROM: SENATOR PAUL A. SARLO, CHAIRMAN

SUBJECT: COMMITTEE MEETING - JANUARY 7, 2016

The public may address comments and questions to Catherine Z. Brennan, Howard K. Rotblat, Committee Aides, or make bill status and scheduling inquiries to Jaimie Cooper, Secretary, at (609) 847-3835, fax (609) 943-5995, or e-mail: OLSAideSBA@njleg.org. Written and electronic comments, questions and testimony submitted to the committee by the public, as well as recordings and transcripts, if any, of oral testimony, are government records and will be available to the public upon request.

The Senate Budget and Appropriations Committee will meet on Thursday, January 7, 2016 at 10:00 AM in Committee Room 4, 1st Floor, State House Annex, Trenton, New Jersey.

The following bills will be considered:

S-445 Ruiz Establishes Response to Intervention initiative in DOE to support and encourage school districts in implementation of Response to Intervention framework.

*S-822 Beach/Gill Requires public institutions of higher education to waive or reimburse application fees and transcript fees for veterans and members of the military.

*A-2019 Greenwald/Tucker/Johnson/Benson/DeAngelo/Wilson (pending referral)

(OVER)
S-1033
Weinberg
A-2925 (3R)
Lagana/O'Scanlon/
Burzichelli/Garcia

Provides for use of prepaid debit cards as standardized form of disbursement for certain State and local government payments to individuals and business entities.

S-1325
Van Drew/Bucco
A-2935
Andrzejczak/Lagana/
Webber/Mosquera/
Lampitt

Authorizes property tax deferment for deployed military personnel.

S-1534
Van Drew/Bateman
A-984 (1R)
Andrzejczak/Wimberly/
Wilson

Enhances penalty for tampering with evidence after fleeing the scene of an accident resulting in death.

*S-1995
Bateman/Smith, B
*A-4182
Eustace/Sumter/
Wimberly/Danielsen/
Jimenez

Prohibits firearm possession by persons convicted of carjacking, gang criminality, racketeering and terroristic threats.

S-2191 (1R)
Vitale/Cruz-Perez

Requires Medicaid coverage for diabetes self-management education, training, services, and equipment for patients diagnosed with diabetes, gestational diabetes, and pre-diabetes.

S-2606 (1R)
Beach/Cruz-Perez
A-3554 (1R)
Mazzoe/Andrzejczak/
Wilson/Mukherji/
Benson/Danielsen

Increases income eligibility cap to receive respite care for certain veterans.

S-2636
Beach/Van Drew
A-3552
Mazzoe/Andrzejczak/
Wilson/Mukherji/Benson

Creates financial planning assistance program for disabled veterans and their caregivers.

(OVER)
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*S-2644
Vitale/Codey
*A-3955
Conaway/Benson/
Vainieri Huttle/Munoz, N/
Sumter/Mukherji

Requires development and maintenance of database to advise public
about open bed availability in residential substance use disorders
treatment facilities.

*S-2820
Van Drew/Oroho
*A-3927
Andrzejczak/Mazzeo/
Taliaferro/Wilson/Dancer

Requires drivers to slow down before passing slow moving vehicles;
establishes Statewide educational campaign on rural roadway safety;
updates agriculture-related motor vehicle laws to reflect current
industry practices.

S-2967
Van Drew
A-943
Singleton/Conaway/
Moriarty/Green/
Lampitt/Mazzeo

Permits small businesses to qualify for loans from NJEDA for costs of
energy audit and making energy efficiency or conservation
improvements.

*S-3016
Vitale
A-4387 (1R)
Coughlin/Wisniewski/
Wimberly

Requires MVC to allow submission of "Next-of-Kin Registry"
information upon issuance of permit, driver's license, or identification
card.

S-3036 (1R)
Vitale
A-4271 (2R)
Conaway/Benson/Pinkin/
Wimberly
(pending referral)

Mandates health benefits coverage for opioid analgesics with abuse-
deterrent properties.

*S-3056
Gordon
*A-4420
Mazzeo/Vainieri Huttle/
DeAngelo/Lampitt
(pending referral)

Requires certain notifications for termination of services to persons
with developmental disabilities and providers.

S-3183 (1R)
Sarlo

Criminalizes using drones to conduct surveillance of or fly over
critical infrastructures; requires certain drones to be registered and
insured.

(Over)
The Senate Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing immediately following the committee meeting.

The public hearing will be held in accordance with Article IX, paragraph 1 of the New Jersey Constitution and Rule 24:3 of the New Jersey Senate on the following Senate Concurrent Resolution:

SCR-185 (SCS/1R) Proposes constitutional amendment to authorize Legislature to permit by law establishment and operation of casinos in certain counties.
Sarlo/Sweeney

Those persons presenting written testimony are asked to provide 20 copies on the day of the meeting/hearing.

Issued 12/31/15
* Revised 1/6/16 – S-822 / A-2019, S-1995 / A-4182, S-2644 / A-3955, S-2820 / A-3927 and S-3056 / A-4420 have been added.

For reasonable accommodation of a disability call the telephone number or fax number above, or TTY for persons with hearing loss 609-777-2744 (toll free in NJ) 800-257-7490. The provision of assistive listening devices requires 24 hours’ notice. Real time reporter or sign language interpretation requires 5 days’ notice.
For changes in schedule due to snow or other emergencies, call 800-792-8630 (toll-free in NJ) or 609-292-4840.
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SYNOPSIS
Proposes constitutional amendment to authorize Legislature to permit by law
establishment and operation of casinos in certain counties.

CURRENT VERSION OF TEXT
As reported by the Senate Budget and Appropriations Committee on
December 21, 2015, with amendments.

(Sponsorship Updated As Of: 1/8/2016)
A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION proposing to amend Article IV, Section VII, paragraph 2 of the New Jersey Constitution.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Senate of the State of New Jersey (the General Assembly concurring):

1. The following proposed amendment to the Constitution of the State of New Jersey is hereby agreed to:

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

Amend Article IV, Section VII, paragraph 2 to read as follows:

2. No gambling of any kind shall be authorized by the Legislature unless the specific kind, restrictions and control thereof have been heretofore submitted to, and authorized by a majority of the votes cast by, the people at a special election or shall hereafter be submitted to, and authorized by a majority of the votes cast thereon by, the legally qualified voters of the State voting at a general election, except that, without any such submission or authorization:

A. It shall be lawful for bona fide veterans, charitable, educational, religious or fraternal organizations, civic and service clubs, senior citizen associations or clubs, volunteer fire companies and first-aid or rescue squads to conduct, under such restrictions and control as shall from time to time be prescribed by the Legislature by law, games of chance of, and restricted to, the selling of rights to participate, the awarding of prizes, in the specific kind of game of chance sometimes known as bingo or lotto, played with cards bearing numbers or other designations, 5 or more in one line, the holder covering numbers as objects, similarly numbered, are drawn from a receptacle and the game being won by the person who first covers a previously designated arrangement of numbers on such a card, when the entire net proceeds of such games of chance are to be devoted to educational, charitable, patriotic, religious or public-spirited uses, and in the case of bona fide veterans' organizations and senior citizen associations or clubs to the support of such organizations, in any municipality, in which a majority of the qualified voters, voting thereon, at a general or special election as the submission thereof shall be prescribed by the Legislature by law, shall authorize the conduct of such games of chance therein;

B. It shall be lawful for the Legislature to authorize, by law, bona fide veterans, charitable, educational, religious or fraternal organizations, civic and service clubs, senior citizen associations or clubs, volunteer fire companies and first-aid or rescue squads to

EXPLANATION—Matter enclosed in bold-faced brackets [thus] in the above bill is not enacted and is intended to be omitted in the law.

Matter underlined thus is new matter.
Matter enclosed in superscript numerals has been adopted as follows:
4 Senate SBA committee amendments adopted December 21, 2015.
conduct games of chance of, and restricted to, the selling of rights
to participate, and the awarding of prizes, in the specific kinds of
games of chance sometimes known as raffles, conducted by the
drawing for prizes or by the allotment of prizes by chance, when the
entire net proceeds of such games of chance are to be devoted to
educational, charitable, patriotic, religious or public-spirited uses,
and in the case of bona fide veterans' organizations and senior
citizen associations or clubs to the support of such organizations, in
any municipality, in which such law shall be adopted by a majority
of the qualified voters, voting thereon, at a general or special
election as the submission thereof shall be prescribed by law and
for the Legislature, from time to time, to restrict and control, by
law, the conduct of such games of chance;

C. It shall be lawful for the Legislature to authorize the conduct
of State lotteries restricted to the selling of rights to participate
therein and the awarding of prizes by drawings when the entire net
proceeds of any such lottery shall be for State institutions and State
aid for education; provided, however, that it shall not becompetent
for the Legislature to borrow, appropriate or use, under any pretense
whatsoever, lottery net proceeds for the confinement, housing,
supervision or treatment of, or education programs for, adult
criminal offenders or juveniles adjudged delinquent or for the
construction, staffing, support, maintenance or operation of an adult
or juvenile correctional facility or institution;

D. (1) It shall be lawful for the Legislature to authorize by law
the establishment and operation, under regulation and control by the
State, of gambling houses or casinos within the boundaries, as
heretofore established, of the city of Atlantic City, county of
Atlantic, and to license and tax such operations and equipment used
in connection therewith. Any law authorizing the establishment and
operation of such gambling establishments shall provide for the
State revenues derived therefrom to be applied solely for the
purpose of providing funding for reductions in property taxes,
rental, telephone, gas, electric, and municipal utilities charges of
eligible senior citizens and disabled residents of the State, and for
additional or expanded health services or benefits or transportation
services or benefits to eligible senior citizens and disabled
residents, in accordance with such formulae as the Legislature shall
by law provide. The type and number of such casinos or gambling
houses and of the gambling games which may be conducted in any
such establishment shall be determined by or pursuant to the terms
of the law authorizing the establishment and operation thereof.

(2) It shall also be lawful for the Legislature to authorize by law
wagering at casinos or gambling houses in Atlantic City on the
results of any professional, college, or amateur sport or athletic
event, except that wagering shall not be permitted on a college sport
or athletic event that takes place in New Jersey or on a sport or
(3) (a) It shall also be lawful for the Legislature to authorize by law the establishment and operation, under regulation and control by the State, of no more than two gambling houses or casinos, each one to be located in different counties of this State, and to license and tax such operations and equipment used in connection therewith. The boundaries of each municipality in which each gambling house or casino is located shall be partially or completely outside a 72 mile radius calculated from the outermost boundary, as heretofore established, of the city of Atlantic City in the county of Atlantic.

(b) (i) Any law authorizing the establishment and operation of such gambling establishments shall provide that, in the first State fiscal year in which State revenues are derived under part (3) of subparagraph D. of this paragraph, those State revenues shall be credited to a special account and dedicated for the purposes specified under part (1) of subparagraph D. of this paragraph and shall be used for those purposes.

(ii) Any law authorizing the establishment and operation of such gambling establishments shall provide that, commencing in the second State fiscal year in which State revenues are derived under part (3) of subparagraph D. of this paragraph and thereafter, State revenues derived under part (1) and part (3) of subparagraph D. of this paragraph shall be credited to a special New Jersey Investment Fund. The revenues credited to the investment fund in each State fiscal year shall be applied solely as follows:

Two percent of the amount so credited in each State fiscal year shall first be dedicated as State aid to each half of the two percent allocated to the locality in which each of the two gambling establishments is located and operating. Locality shall mean the host municipality, county, or both.

Then, there shall be the following incremental allocations for each State fiscal year. The remaining revenues credited to the investment fund in each State fiscal year up to $150,000,000 shall be dedicated 50 percent for the purposes of the recovery, stabilization, or improvement of the city of Atlantic City, and 50 percent for the following purposes: 60 percent for the purposes specified under part (1) of subparagraph D. of this paragraph to be used for those purposes, and 40 percent for State aid to each county and municipality in the State for programs and property tax relief for senior citizens and disabled residents and for such other purposes as the Legislature shall by law provide.

Then, remaining revenues credited to the investment fund in each State fiscal year up to an additional $150,000,000 shall be dedicated 40 percent for the purposes of the recovery, stabilization, or improvement of the city of Atlantic City, and 60 percent for the following purposes: 60 percent for the purposes specified under part...
(1) of subparagraph D. of this paragraph to be used for those purposes, and 40 percent for State aid to each county and municipality in the State for programs and property tax relief for senior citizens and disabled residents and for such other purposes as the Legislature shall by law provide.

Then, remaining revenues credited to the investment fund in each State fiscal year up to an additional $150,000,000 shall be dedicated 30 percent for the purposes of the recovery, stabilization, or improvement of the city of Atlantic City, and 70 percent for the following purposes: 60 percent for the purposes specified under part (1) of subparagraph D. of this paragraph to be used for those purposes, and 40 percent for State aid to each county and municipality in the State for programs and property tax relief for senior citizens and disabled residents and for such other purposes as the Legislature shall by law provide.

Then, remaining additional revenues credited to the investment fund in each State fiscal year shall be dedicated 20 percent for the purposes of the recovery, stabilization, or improvement of the city of Atlantic City, and 80 percent for the following purposes: 60 percent for the purposes specified under part (1) of subparagraph D. of this paragraph to be used for those purposes, and 40 percent for State aid to each county and municipality in the State for programs and property tax relief for senior citizens and disabled residents and for such other purposes as the Legislature shall by law provide.

Commencing in the 17th State fiscal year and for the next subsequent nine State fiscal years, the percentages dedicated above for the purposes of the recovery, stabilization, or improvement of the city of Atlantic City shall decrease by, and the percentage dedicated above for the purposes specified under part (1), for State aid to each county and municipality in the State for programs and property tax relief for senior citizens and disabled residents, and for such other purposes as the Legislature shall by law provide shall increase by, the same number of percentage points from the prior State fiscal year percentage, to achieve a final dedication of 10 percent/90 percent, 8 percent/92 percent, 6 percent/94 percent, and 4 percent/96 percent, respectively, for each of the four incremental allocations of the remaining revenues credited to the investment fund, and shall remain at those levels for each State fiscal year thereafter.

Notwithstanding the dedications above, the total amount dedicated in each State fiscal year for the purposes of the recovery, stabilization, or improvement of the city of Atlantic City shall not exceed one third of the total revenues credited to the investment fund in each State fiscal year.

Notwithstanding the dedications above, no State revenues credited to the investment fund in each State fiscal year shall be applied to any other purpose unless the amount applied for the purposes specified under part (i) of subparagraph D. of this
paragraph shall equal the amount of State revenues derived under
and for the purposes specified in part (1) of subparagraph D. of this
paragraph in State fiscal year 2015.
Of the percentage of revenues from the investment fund
dedicated for State aid to each county and municipality in the State
for programs and property tax relief for senior citizens and disabled
residents and for such other purposes as the Legislature shall by law
provide, not less than two percentage points in each State fiscal year
shall be dedicated for the purposes of programs designed to aid the
thoroughbred and standardbred horsemen in this State.
(c) The eligibility for each initial license to establish a gambling
house or casino under part (3) of subparagraph D. of this paragraph
shall be limited to persons whose majority equity owners: a) are
holders of a New Jersey casino license that were operating a casino
which was conducting gambling as of the date of passage by the
Legislature of the concurrent resolution that proposed the
amendment that added part (3) of subparagraph D. of this paragraph
to this Constitution; or b) were principal owners of a holder of a
New Jersey casino license that was operating a casino which was
conducting gambling as of the date of passage by the Legislature of
the concurrent resolution that proposed the amendment that added
part (3) of subparagraph D. of this paragraph to this Constitution, if
that principal owner or subsidiary also holds a valid license to own
and operate a casino in another jurisdiction with licensing standards
similar to those in New Jersey. A principal owner shall mean any
person who, directly or indirectly, owns 50 percent or more of a
holder of a New Jersey casino license that was operating a casino
which was conducting gambling as of the date of passage by the
Legislature of the concurrent resolution that proposed the
amendment that added part (3) of subparagraph D. of this paragraph
to this Constitution. *If* a person described above *does not apply for*
a license within the time period that shall be prescribed by law, or
does apply but fails to meet certain progress requirements that shall
be prescribed by law, within the time periods that shall be
prescribed by law, toward the operation of a gambling house or
casino, any qualified applicant may apply for that license in
accordance with law. *¹*
(d) The location and type of such casinos or gambling houses,
and of the gambling games which may be conducted in any such
establishment, shall be determined by or pursuant to the terms of
the law authorizing the establishment and operation thereof.
E. It shall be lawful for the Legislature to authorize, by law, (1)
the simultaneous transmission by picture of running and harness
horse races conducted at racetracks located within or outside of this
State, or both, to gambling houses or casinos in the city of Atlantic
City and (2) the specific kind, restrictions and control of wagering
at those gambling establishments on the results of those races. The
State's share of revenues derived therefrom shall be applied for
services to benefit eligible senior citizens as shall be provided by
law; and

F. It shall be lawful for the Legislature to authorize, by law, the
specific kind, restrictions and control of wagering on the results of
live or simulcast running and harness horse races conducted within
or outside of this State. The State's share of revenues derived
therefrom shall be used for such purposes as shall be provided by
law.

It shall also be lawful for the Legislature to authorize by law
wagering at current or former running and harness horse racetracks
in this State on the results of any professional, college, or amateur
sport or athletic event, except that wagering shall not be permitted
on a college sport or athletic event that takes place in New Jersey or
on a sport or athletic event in which any New Jersey college team
participates regardless of where the event takes place.
(cf: Art. IV, Sec. VII, par. 2; amended effective December 5, 2013)

2. When this proposed amendment to the Constitution is finally
agreed to pursuant to Article IX, paragraph 1 of the Constitution, it
shall be submitted to the people at the next general election
occurring more than three months after the final agreement and
shall be published at least once in at least one newspaper of each
county designated by the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the
General Assembly and the Secretary of State, not less than three
months prior to the general election.

3. This proposed amendment to the Constitution shall be
submitted to the people at that election in the following manner and
form:

There shall be printed on each official ballot to be used at the
general election, the following:

a. In every municipality in which voting machines are not used,
a legend which shall immediately precede the question as follows:
If you favor the proposition printed below make a cross (X), plus
(+), or check (✓) in the square opposite the word "Yes." If you are
opposed thereto make a cross (X), plus (+) or check (✓) in the square
opposite the word "No."

b. In every municipality the following question:
**CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT TO PERMIT CASINO GAMBLING IN TWO COUNTIES OTHER THAN ATLANTIC COUNTY**

Do you approve amending the Constitution to permit casino gambling in two additional counties in this State? At present, casino gambling is allowed only in Atlantic City in Atlantic County.

Only one casino in each of the two counties would be permitted. Each casino is to be located in a town that is at least 72 miles from Atlantic City. The amendment would allow certain persons to apply first for a casino license.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>INTERPRETIVE STATEMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At present, casino gambling is allowed only in Atlantic City in Atlantic County. This amendment would allow the Legislature to pass laws to permit casino gambling to take place in two other counties in this State. Only one casino in each of the two counties would be permitted. Each casino is to be located in a town that is at least 72 miles from Atlantic City. The amendment would allow certain persons to apply first for a casino license. The laws passed by the Legislature would provide for the location and type of casinos and the licensing and taxing of the operation and equipment. The amendment provides that the State's share of revenue from the operation of the two casinos and of the casinos in Atlantic City would be used for programs and property tax relief for senior citizens and disabled residents. It would also be used for the recovery, stabilization, or improvement of Atlantic City and other purposes as provided by law. Lesser portions would be used to aid the thoroughbred and standardbred horsemen in this State and each town and county in which a casino is located.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Christina M. Renna
Vice President
Chamber of Commerce Southern New Jersey
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SENATOR PAUL A. SARLO (Chair): Okay, ladies and gentlemen.

The Senate Budget and Appropriations Committee will reconvene at 11:30 p.m. (sic). I said 11:20 p.m., but we were 10 minutes late staying.

The public hearing will be held in accordance with Article IX, paragraph 1 of the New Jersey Constitution; and Rule 24:3 of the New Jersey Senate, on the following Senate Concurrent Resolution: SCR-185, Sarlo/Sweeney, proposes constitutional amendment to authorize Legislature to permit by law establishment and operation of casinos in certain counties.

We need to do a roll call.

MR. ROTBLAT (Committee Aide): Senator Thompson.

SENATOR THOMPSON: Here.

MR. ROTBLAT: Senator O’Toole.

SENATOR O’TOOLE: Here.

MR. ROTBLAT: Senator Oroho.

SENATOR OROHO: Here.

MR. ROTBLAT: Senator Bucco.

SENATOR BUCCO: Here.

MR. ROTBLAT: Senator Beck (no response)

Senator Van Drew. (no response)

SENATOR SARLO: No.

MR. ROTBLAT: No.

Senator Ruiz.

SENATOR RUIZ: Here.

MR. ROTBLAT: Senator Pou.
SENATOR POU: (Indiscernible).

SENATOR SARLO: She’s here.

MR. ROTBLAT: Senator Greenstein.

SENATOR SARLO: Not here.

MR. ROTBLAT: Senator Cunningham.

SENATOR CUNNINGHAM: Here.

MR. ROTBLAT: Senator Cruz-Perez.

SENATOR SARLO: Not here.

MR. ROTBLAT: Senator Stack.

SENATOR SARLO: He’s here.

MR. ROTBLAT: And Senator Sarlo.

SENATOR SARLO: Here.

MR. ROTBLAT: You have a quorum.

SENATOR SARLO: Okay, thank you.

This is the public hearing on the bill that was amended by this Committee -- the last bill that was amended by the Committee. This is the second public hearing; the first public hearing was done by a separate Committee, based upon the first bill that came out of Committee. And now this is the public hearing on the amended version that has been put on the board list by the Senate President on Monday, January 11.

Bob Marshall, Greater Atlantic City Chamber of Commerce, opposed, no need to testify; Michele Siekerka, BIA, in favor, no need to testify; Debra DiLorenzo, Chamber of Commerce Southern New Jersey, opposed.

Deb, you want to testify? Oh, Christina?

CHRISTINA M. RENNA: Yes.
Thank you, Chairman. I’m sorry; Deb is upstairs on the fourth floor, so I just figured I would run down and pinch-hit for her, if that’s okay.

SENATOR SARLO: That’s okay with us.

MS. RENNA: Good morning, everyone. My name is Christina Renna; I’m Vice President of the South Jersey Chamber of Commerce.

And you all heard my boss, Deb DiLorenzo, testify in opposition to SCR-185 before Christmas. And we are here, again, on the record, just to again state our opposition to this legislation.

As Deb spoke to you back in December, we, at that point, focused on Atlantic City, Atlantic County, and the more historical viewpoint of our opposition. And today, I did submit some paperwork for the record as well; and attached to that paperwork was a chart. And if you would, just take a look at the chart; it’s a collection of data that we put together from a regional perspective. And being the South Jersey Chamber of Commerce that represents the seven-most southern counties of New Jersey, we thought it was important to assess the data that’s out there so you truly understood why this is a South Jersey issue, and the impact that is going to be falling on all of South Jersey.

The chart that we put together for you really highlights two things: There’s a timeline of events, from 2006 until 2015-2016. And that kind of gives you an idea -- not just of the casino closures in Atlantic City, but the casino openings that have happened within the area that all fell within 175 miles from Atlantic City, or below.

The reason why that timeline is important is because, if you look at the data points as it relates to the seven-most southern counties of
New Jersey -- in 2007, for example, when Harrah’s Chester opened 72 miles from Atlantic City, Mount Airy Casino opened 175 miles from Atlantic City, and Dover Downs expanded in Delaware 130 miles from Atlantic City -- casino revenue, in that one year, dipped about $400 million. Again, those three casinos all are within the 72-mile limit or greater; the effect was undeniable on the South Jersey -- on the casino revenue. And what trickles down from that is casino closures, of course; casino employees living in South Jersey being affected and dropping dramatically as well; our unemployment continuing to go up in South Jersey.

And remarkably, one other point I wanted to really point out to you is the regional impact of the money spent with South Jersey vendors as it relates to casinos -- dipping about $1 billion since 2006.

All of these implications, and the data that we put together for you -- it is just enormously important to highlight, again, that expanding gaming within New Jersey is just going to continue to pile on more of what we’ve seen already in the South Jersey region.

And thank you for the opportunity to be on the record once again about this. And thank you for letting me testify in Deb’s absence, Chairman.

Thank you.

SENATOR SARLO: Thank you.

Okay, we have the Chamber of Commerce South New Jersey on the record; thank you.

Anybody else wish to be heard on the record? (no response)

I also want to acknowledge Senator Van Drew for the roll call; he’s present for the public hearing.
SENATOR VAN DREW: Chairman, do we have the opportunity to say a few words?

SENATOR SARLO: You may, if you want to be recorded. Yes, you may.

SENATOR VAN DREW: Thank you, Chairman.

SENATOR SARLO: Senator Van Drew.

SENATOR VAN DREW: Thank you.

I think that most of you know how strongly I feel about this, and the concerns that I have. And I understand the desire -- and Chairman, you and I have had conversations over many years over this issue.

My concern is, we know the cannibalization that has taken place -- whether it is because of what’s happening or going to happen in Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, New York, and other venues. The concern I have is this self-cannibalization that’s going to take place when we have two casinos in northern New Jersey, which are certainly going to affect our area in South Jersey and, in particularly, in the Atlantic City and the Atlantic County area.

I don’t have to review all the statistics. We don’t have the plethora of opportunity that other areas do. We do not have the high tech industry; we do not have the financial industry; we don’t have major manufacturing to speak of; we don’t have big pharma; and we are, literally, experiencing an out-migration of people, of residents from our area, particularly the Atlantic County area. And the real concern is, even when you see what’s happening with our housing industry, which is feeling a tremendous amount of pain and has the highest foreclosure rate -- not the
highest foreclosure rate in the State of New Jersey, but the highest foreclosure rate in the United States of America.

For all those reasons, I just don’t believe that this is the time and the place that we should move forward and do this. I understand that a great deal has been done for Atlantic City, and for the Atlantic County area. But there is a great need there. And if we move forward with the two northern New Jersey casinos, I believe that, ultimately, to some degree, the potential is there for this area of southern New Jersey to become a ward of the State, and for us to have to do a great deal more just to enable them survive and to move forward in any way. I’m concerned about it, and I really, truly, sincerely am worried about it. I know there are a lot of folks with some good intentions, but there are also a lot of people, and individuals, and families that I know who live in my area who are going to lose their homes, they’re going to lose their jobs, who are going to lose their way of life, who are going to have to, literally, move and leave the place that they love, and where they live, and separate from their families. This is very, very serious business.

My hope, truthfully, is that it doesn’t make it to the ballot; and my second hope is, that if it makes it to the ballot, that the people of the State of New Jersey decide that they don’t want to have casinos throughout the state.

I’ll wrap up with a final remark, which was that the original intent and goal -- as we all know, the original intent and goal of casinos in New Jersey was to help Atlantic City, a severely depressed area, in a depressed region with the lowest per-capita income. And that was the focus, the idea, the need, and the reason to do it. I still believe that’s a
major part. It is the major part and the major reason to do it. And I am very, very, very concerned that this is going to create havoc in our area.

And I thank you for your leeway, Chairman.

SENATOR SARLO: Thank you, Senator Van Drew.

Let me just say this on the record as well -- before we conclude.

I respect Senator Van Drew’s comments and concerns, and I respect all my colleagues who live and represent areas of southern New Jersey.

The chart that was provided by the Southern Chamber of Commerce does show you, in 2006, when we sort of hit a high-water mark -- revenues declining in Atlantic City year after year -- casino revenues. And one of the things that frustrates me is-- And whether it was a lack of leadership of the local government there, whether it was State regulators -- we allowed some of these operators to invest in other areas just outside our borders which helped to cannibalize that market. And it’s sort of been a failure at a lot of different levels. I know there was a five-year moratorium that was requested by the Senate President and the Governor, which we’ve all abided by, to give five years to the investors in Atlantic City to make it work. I believe the only way that Atlantic City will be able to flourish and prosper again -- the way we need it to, for the State of New Jersey -- is to get an infusion of new revenue.

And there is a lot of debate over the two different bills. The Senate President’s bill -- the bill that I am the prime sponsor, with the Senate President -- requires connectivity with the two license operators in Atlantic City. There are those who are opposed to it in North Jersey. The
connectivity is to make sure we don’t cannibalize the market; that we have connectivity on marketing and other things.

What frustrates me, though, is that we’ve waited a long time. There is $X$ amount of revenues that we need to -- a finite amount of revenue in the State of New Jersey from gambling purposes, from gaming purposes that we’re losing to our surrounding states. And we need to act now to recapture that. If we don’t, we’re going to end up losing the whole market. We’re going to lose Atlantic City further, and we’re going to lose a lot of revenue to our surrounding states.

Manhattan, I believe, is around the corner. What frustrates me is that we are at this sort of impasse over a handful of very, very small items. I’ve been in this Legislature 14 years; I’ve been talking about this for 14 years. We are so close, but it seems like we’re so far away on just a handful of issues. And I really hope that we can reconcile those differences in the coming days. This is a lost opportunity for Atlantic City if we do not do this, I believe; and it’s a lost opportunity for the State of New Jersey.

So thank you to all my colleagues. This has been, sort of -- the roller coaster is not over yet. Monday-- We still have a few more twists and turns before Monday.

Anybody else? (no response)

Seeing none, hearing none, I close the hearing to citizens, and the public hearing.

Motion to adjourn.

SENATOR BRAIN P. STACK (Vice Chair): Motion.

SENATOR SARLO: Did you want to speak?

UNIDENTIFIED MEMBER OF COMMITTEE: No.
SENATOR SARLO: Oh. (laughter)
We are adjourned. Thank you, folks.

(HEARING CONCLUDED)