

APPENDIX

[EXTERNAL] comments on state house commission agenda monday 9 am meeting

jean public <jeanpublic1@gmail.com>

Fri 1/22/2021 5:48 PM

To: State House Commission <StateHouse.Commission@treas.nj.gov>; yourviews@app.com <yourviews@app.com>; INFO <INFO@taxpayer.net>; media <media@cagw.org>; INFO@njtaxes.org <INFO@njtaxes.org>; info@afphq.org <info@afphq.org>; info@retiredpatriots.org <info@retiredpatriots.org>; info@themorristownteaparty.org <info@themorristownteaparty.org>
 Cc: information@sierraclub.org <information@sierraclub.org>; The Pew Charitable Trusts <info@pewtrusts.org>; Friends of the Earth <foe@foe.org>; APLNJ <info@aplnj.org>; ANGI METLER <info@lohv.org>

*** CAUTION ***

This message came from an EXTERNAL address (jeanpublic1@gmail.com). **DO NOT** click on links or attachments unless you know the sender and the content is safe. **Suspicious?** Forward the message to spamreport@cyber.nj.gov.

2. i can understand renewing the least at sea girt for a cell work for why are you giving 5 year renewal at 5%. with what is gonig on in this nj economy you should not be making long term commitments for 5% rent increase. we dont know what the heck will happen in nj in 5 years.

\dont agree with giving the renewals at 5% for 3 successive terms. no renewal at 5% makes more sense. we may want 10% increase in 5 years.

3 ok

4 no confusing profiteer sign should be erected on rt 3 in clifton at this site. tha highway is travelled at 70 mph and up and this kind of distraction is ludicrous and against all public safety. signs are dangerous because they take peoples eyes off the road. that sign should not be allowed. we dont need money tha bad to distract drivers when they should e paying attention to traffic and the road and not looking at profiteers signs. this is ugly.

5/the sale of this property in newark for \$95,000 doesnt even cover the costs of the legal work involved in teh sale. this property should not be sold for less than \$250,000 to cover all costs of the sale. you can be sure that it will be used to make money in this sale so the sale price is fuly valid to charge.

6l pseg should choose some other cleared site for their switching station. i do not think all this tree clearing is justified and the open space shoudl not be lost to profiteers. no way shoudl this go forward. it is not justified. pseg can easily choose another site. vote no

7getting 38 acres for l acre is good return but one has to question how such an error can be made and what else is corrrpt n medford twp. no town makes errors like this. it is unjustified and there shoudl be an investigation of such an egregious alleged "error".

8i would like to see that these oil and gas companies get turned down in their continual applications to enter green open space for their pipes. i think this should be the last such one allowed and that the saving of parkland, open space for birds and animals has high priority not to be disturbed for oil and gas profiteers. let them buy property elsewhere for their pollution.

jean publiee jean public1@gmail.com

/x