Shaughnessy, Robert

From: Jean Public <jeanpublic1@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, June 21, 2019 1:20 PM
To: State House Commission; info@taxpayer.net; media@cagw.org; info@njtaxes.org; info@afphq.org
Cc: letters@trentonian.com; information@sierraclub.org; TAYLOR.MCFARLAND@NJSIERRA.COM
Subject: [EXTERNAL] SScomment on agenda of Commission hearing of June 24, 2019 for the record

2. I am not in favor of leasing additional 7 acres to city of vineland so human use can grow and take every bit of land for human use. I believe the 7 acres should be continued as a tree plot for nature and birds and animals. This is a far better use for this land than more land for human use. vineland can find other property for all the things they seem to want than this open space which should remain open space for nature, not for human use.

3. no slope easement should be annulled. if this hotel wants this land owned by taxpayers, i see no reason for this low remittance. the remittance from the hotel for its needs should be more like $500,000 for this land. i believe the easement is necessary for the highway and should be kept. this looks like too much of a deal for profiteers and it is unseemly to allow this taking of taxpayer land so cheap by a profiteer. not good. taxpayers need to be protected.

5. rt 38 lumBERTON to republic first bank - asking only $6,000 which doesn't even cover the cost of preparing the deed by nj employees. this site if sold should bring a price of $125,000 to cover all costs of employee time in making this transfer. the taxpayers lose all the time and their land is not being given proper consideration in terms of value or in terms of the costs of transferring land, which is costly.

7. newark university heights connector project - nj dot wants to sell for $125,000 which will not even cover the cost of the transfer document. the price for auction should be $125,000 to cover the full cost of the transfer of this property. the taxpayers are losing out at $125,000. one has to question this being a "buildable lot" at such a small amount of property. why would it be designated when it is a tiny area?

8. newark university heights connector project - nj dot wants to sell for $14,000 which will not even cover the cost of the transfer documents. the price for auction should be $125,000 to cover the full cost of the transfer of this property. the taxpayers are losing out at $125,000. one has to question this being a "buildable lot" at such a small amount of property. why would it be designated when it is a tiny area?

10. our state parks land is for nature. this transmission line by this profiteer is thorough nature, disturbing it mightily and massively. it makes no sense to allow this since this land is for the purpose of preserving nature. tell nj american water to find another location in already disturbed congested property to put their water lines not through a property that is for nature. this complete and total destruction of nature energy a profiteer comes along needs to be stopped. it adduces to complete disturbance of nearly part of our saved land for nature. LAND SAVED FOR NATURES ISNT THERE SO THAT SOME LOUSY PROFITEER CAN COME ALONG IN A FEW YEARS AND GET THE RIGHT TO TEAR IT ALL UP. THAT IS NOT WHY THE PEOPLE OF THIS STATE HAVE SPENT BILLIONS IN OPEN SPACE FUNDS TO PRESERVE LAND FOR BIRDS, WILDLIFE AND PLANTS. TURN DOWN THIS APPLICATION. TELL NJ AMERICAN WATER PROFITEER TO FIND A ROUTE THROUGH ALREADY DISTURBED LAND. THIS IS UNETHICAL, DISHONEST ESPECIALLY WHEN WE ARE IN THE SIXTH EXTINCTION. THAT LAND IS NOT THERE TO BE TORN UP BY EVERY DEVELOPER UTILITY THAT COMES ALONG. THEY NEED TO FIND OTHER ROUTES AND OTHER LAND. THEY ALL SEEM TO THINK THIS LAND EXISTS AS THEIR PROPERTY ALONE TO DISTURB AND DESTROY. THEY NEED TO BE TOLD THAT HAS ENDED. WE CANNOT ALLOW THIS CONTINUAL DESTRUCTION BY EVERY PROFITEER THAT COMES ALONG THE PIKE.

11. THE TAXPAYERS should get .544 acre of new land in trade for this taking by new jersey american water profiteer.

12. nj american water should pay $500,000 in monetary compensation for new purchase of land. the fact is you cant just switch some land for another. if you have a forest of trees on this site, which you do, trees dont grow necessarily in some other site you pick and they are not old trees they are brand new trees which may not take. it takes 100 years for some trees to grow. this coming along and taking down trees is taken far too lightly by these profiteers. the new land purchased
also shoul be 2 acres next to an already growing forest. this idea of this profiteer coming along and just cutting down trees now and again all of the time is destroying nature. we need to make sure they pay for this destruction. it is clearly destruction of nature. why don't they find some land already destroyed instead of alway going to nature land and destroying it. we will be left with nothing when they get done. and we don't want this and we have the right to defend our nature sites.
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