As to agenda item 6. I note that nj parks dept has asked to take the open space that has been bought an dpaid for by all nj citizens for nature and wildlife and trees and lease it for cheap to a local agricultural profiteer. I am very much opposed to this use of the open space we put aside for nature being used by agricultural profiteers. I find that farmers routinely kill trees and wildlife and birds too as a part of their profiteering business. I so not believe in nany way this was the intention of what the general taxpayers of nj saved this land for. we saved it with the idea of "open space" not farmers land. Please do not approve this usage of our general taxpayer open space that we should have avaialble for all of us to use to walk upon, bike upon, watch wildlife on and turn it into a profiteering site for some special picked out person who wants to get a bargain based on the tax dollars of all nj citizens., this needs to be stopped.

we do not tax ourselves on 3 levels to save land so that we can save this land for farmers. there are programs for farmers that already exist. we should not be turnignj the lands we save for wildlife and birds to live peacefully on for profiteering agribusinessess. deny this permit to jj white inc. we do not want jj white to have use of this public trust land that exists for all of us in nj to be occupied and utilized by jj white for the next 20 years.

jj white can buy their own private land out of their own wallet to do their blueberry growing. It certainly cost the taxpayers of nj alot more than $1320 to buy this land. We need it for all of n ew jerseys almost 9 million people to utilize, not this leaching welfare application jj white whoi seeks to exist on the wallets of nj taxpayers with this scheme. This is an insult to nj taxpayers.

as to item 12 there the nj division of parks was so sloppy that open space land was somehow sold out right under this division. This negligence by the division of parks is highly suspicious to the taxpayers of new jersey. One has to wonder how many other acres that the general public owns are sold out right under our noses. Where is the punishment for this kind of negligence and sloppiness in four state parks division.

this comment is for the public record. barbara sachau.
3. I have sever concerns about putting incapacitated elder people in close contact with those with behavioral issues. I don't think geriatric care works with behavioral issues, violent issue, etc. Geriatric care needs close attention to health. These people are unable to defend themselves. I do not think this amendment makes sense at all. It should be denied. Vote no.

4. East Jersey State Prison with apartments with kids in them right by there? Is that a good fit? I don't think so. I think we need to rethink how land is used. Vote no.

5. The KRG site says their property is worth $50 million. I think this piece is probably worth at least $100,000 and I think that this company should pay all the legal fees to transfer it. The taxpayers of this state should not have to pay the legal fees in any way for this transmittal.

6. The taxpayers paid billion in open space taxes to have forests like the Brendan Byrne Forest. We should not be moving farms into the forest. We need the trees, we need the wildlife land. The farmers can go elsewhere to lease land and pay the fees he should be paying to rent land. The taxpayers do not need to give this farmer a cheap lease. Vote no.

7. Why are the states taxpayers being screwed over without any money coming back to them so the town can sell this property and make money on it? Why are the states taxpayers helping Totowa taxpayers? I think the state should keep this property until a buyer is found at decent price so that the states taxpayers get he benefit of this sale, not Totowa. We need to stop making the states taxpayers fall guys. Vote no.

8. Leasing state land which can make money for the benefit of all taxpayers needs to be concentrated on in this time of extreme taxation. This house has a rental value that can come to the states taxpayers. I am not in favor or making donations to big brother big sisters. They can get donations. That is what a non-profit should be doing, existing on donations not on taxpayer dollars. Keep this as a rental with monies coming to the state of NJ treasury dept. It's clear that we need every dollar we can get for our state treasury because we are overtaxed to make up the monies that don't come into it. Vote no on this giveaway. It's time to concentrate on getting money into the treasury of this state because the states taxpayers are overtaxed when we don't get money into the treasury. Vote no.

9. State of NJ can preserve that land as open space so that we can then shut down the open space tax that citizens pay on 3 levels. Or state of NJ can sell that land and put those funds into the state of NJ treasury.

It is time that the state stops making donations to towns so they can make money on land and then the states taxpayers keep getting bills for more and more money to run the state. We need to save that land in the state. Vote no on this giveaway of land. Keep the land. Use it or sell it for the states' taxpayers benefit.
12. the borough sold state land to a car dealership - must have been some insider stuff going on here - how could this happen. they didn't do a survey?????? this whole deal seems really sour. i also note that the tinton falls offer is to give the state som unidentified land somewhere. the state's taxpayers says that is not good enough. the states taxpayers want to know what land, where, what conditin is the land in. certainly soembodeys head should roll for this taking of the states park land. vote no on this deal. the taxpayers want more information on how this happened, who will lose their job over it and where new land is. this seems like true corruption.

13. the rental for the land for the signj is $150 a month. and the company that wants the sign is to pay all fees incurred by the states taxpayers in making this lease in full which should be about $1,000 to cover the cost of the states making this lease. amend so that the states taxpayers don't continue to get screwed all of the time.

15. this Brielle property is much more valuable than $17,000. the states taxpayers are aain it on the chin for this giveaway. vote no on this. the appraisals that come in from this states appraisers are always very low and seem to have no connection to true retail value of land. vote no. the price for this piece needs to be increased.

16. vote no until th price paid by the purchaser is increased by $300,000.

17. appraised value is so low it is a giveaway. hold on to the property until the buyer, adjacent property owner pays $40,000 for the site.
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