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(A teleconference meeting of the State House Commission was held on October 27, 2010. Members present via telephone were Senator Bob Smith, Assemblyman Joseph Cryan, Assemblyman Jon M. Bramnick)

**PETER J. TOBER (Chair):** With the arrival of the Director of OMB, I will call the meeting to order and ask the Secretary to read the Open Public Meetings Act.

**MR. SHAUGHNESSY (Commission Secretary):** Thank you, Mr. Chair.

In accordance with the Open Public Meetings Act, notice of this meeting has been provided by filing with the Secretary of State, delivered to the State House Press, and posted at the Office of the State House Commission.

Mr. Chair, we have a quorum. And I’d also like to mention that since we have a number of members participating by phone, if you’d be kind enough -- those who are participating telephonically -- to at least mention your identity before you speak so that we’ll all know, and the recorder can have that taken down and recorded as well.

Now the call to order is our next order of business.

Special Council Tober.

**MR. TOBER:** Present.

**MR. SHAUGHNESSY:** Deputy State Treasurer Romano.

**DEPUTY TREASURER ROMANO:** Present.

**MR. SHAUGHNESSY:** Director Holzbaur.

**DIRECTOR HOLZBAUR:** Present.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Present by telephone.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Cryan.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Here.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Bramnick.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Present by telephone.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Okay. What order of business shall we take these matters, Mr. Chair?

MR. TOBER: Sure. Let me just thank the legislative members for convening on such short notice. I appreciate you all making time in your schedule to do this. We were just advised last week that there would be some delays in penalties associated with the Turnpike widening project, that related to the potential demobilization of utility contractors, if we didn’t approve some of the items on the agenda today. And if that -- if our action didn’t happen by the end of this week, we were advised that some substantial contractual penalties would be involved. So I want to thank all the legislative members and the Executive Branch members here today for coming together on such short notice.

With that, Mr. Secretary, if we could start with Item No. 2, which is the first of the Turnpike matters.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Sure. First, I just want to call-- I don’t know if I heard Senator Cardinale join us or not. So at the risk of that, is Senator Cardinale on the phone by chance? (no response)

Okay. Thank you.

Item No. 2 is Lenox County Park and Nami Tract, Assunpink Wildlife Management Area. It’s Block 44, Lot 6 -- part of Lot 6 -- East
Windsor, 1.171 plus or minus acres; Block 45, part of Lot 17, East Windsor, 0.767 plus or minus acres; Block 45, Lot 18 -- part of Lot 18, I should say -- East Windsor, 1.069 plus or minus acres; Block 2732, Lots 3 and 4 -- part of -- Hamilton, 1.168 plus or minus; and Block 19, part of Lot 15, Robbinsville, 3.608 plus or minus, Mercer County.

In this matter, the DEP, on behalf of Mercer County, requests approval to dispose of and/or divert a total of approximately 7.783 plus or minus acres to the New Jersey Turnpike Authority for the New Jersey Turnpike Interchange 6-9 widening program. That program is defined in your members’ agenda, so I will not belabor that point.

The terms of compensation for this matter: As compensation for the disposal and/or diversion of a total of 7.783 plus or minus acres valued at $83,170, the Authority will pay a total of $332,680, which will be utilized as a contribution toward the purchase by the Township of East Windsor of approximately nine acres of replacement parkland, being Block 30, Lot 12, in East Windsor; and the purchase by the DEP of other lands for addition to the Assunpink Wildlife Management Area.

We will now move that for a vote -- a motion and a vote, and any discussion.

SENATOR SMITH: Motion to approve, Senator Smith.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you, Senator.

Second?

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Second, Assemblyman Bramnick.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you, Assemblyman.

Any discussion?
ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: I just have two questions. This is Assemblyman Cryan.

MR. TOBER: Please, Assemblyman.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: The public meetings that were taken -- I assume they’re still valid, even with these changes, right? There’s no reason to go back and do another public meeting anywhere, right?

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: We understand that there were, I think, four public hearings on this matter, and there was no opposition.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Well, there were, as late as June 30. But given the deal, which is great -- I’m going to vote for it -- but do we have any sort of problems with -- Do we have to have a public meeting since the deal changed?

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Fair question. We have a representative from the DEP here to help us with that.

Thank you.

Please identify yourself.

JUDETH PICCININI YEANY, ESQ.: This is Judeth Yeany, from the Green Acres Program at the DEP.

The litigation involving the trees involved a matter under the No Net Loss Reforestation Act, which was separate from the Green Acres process. So our position is that none of the changes or negotiations that happened in that matter affect the notice that was given for the diversion application. And we don’t feel that any of that needs to be repeated.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Okay. And my only other question on this is on Page 5 of our handout. It’s under the Nami Tract. The last paragraph says, “The County and Authority are in the process of
resolving access issues and may acquire additional approvals.” Is there anything we’re doing today that we might have to go back and change or have any other problems with? Is there anything that we know of now that might be a problem?

MR. TOBER: We have another representative coming up, Assemblyman, to answer your question.

LESLEY FLOYD: Hi, Leslie Floyd, Assistant Planning Director, Mercer County.

That’s a reference to an access drive to an adjacent preserved farm that’s being impacted by the diversion. It will be located on the adjacent County open space known as the Nami Tract. In order to give Mr. Kim the rights that he presently has in the existing driveway, that will be a separate diversion application simply because -- to give someone else -- to give a private party rights in public open space will result in a diversion, at least under the regulations.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: And I guess what I wanted to just ask, as somebody who has been down some of these hearing roads, is: Are we obligated to any other vote that we have to do because we did this vote? I don’t know how to word this other than -- I’m sure you guys understand what I’m talking about here. So there is no obligation from this vote that ties us in anywhere for the one that you reference here? Is that a better way to ask it, I guess?

MS. PICCININI YEANY: This is Judeth Yeany, again, from the Green Acres Program.
We definitely anticipate that Mercer County will be coming back to us with this application for the Kim farm. And it’s a peripheral issue to the Turnpike project.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: I got it.

MS. PICCININI YEANY: We don’t feel that your vote on the Turnpike project binds you. But out of fairness to the Kims, we are going to have to address this at a future point in time.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: As long as you don’t feel that-- I’ll take the representation. That’s fine.

MS. PICCININI YEANY: Okay.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Thanks.

MR. TOBER: Are there any other questions from the members here or the members on the phone? (no response)

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Hearing none, we’ll take a vote.

Special Counsel Tober.

MR. TOBER: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Deputy State Treasurer Romano.

DEPUTY TREASURER ROMANO: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Director Holzbaur.

DIRECTOR HOLZBAUR: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Cryan.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Bramnick.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Yes.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: That matter passes.

Okay. Next, No. 3 on your agenda, is Mach Memorial Park, Block 22, part of Lot 9, East Windsor Township, Mercer County.

The DEP, on behalf of East Windsor Township, requests approval to allow the Township to convey approximately 0.31 plus or minus acres of parkland -- fee and easements -- to the New Jersey Turnpike Authority for the New Jersey Turnpike Interchange 6-9 widening program.

As compensation for the disposal and diversions of approximately 0.31 plus or minus acres, the Authority will pay the Township a total of $27,200, which will be used to purchase approximately nine acres of replacement parkland, being Block 30, Lot 12, in East Windsor.

There were noted a couple of hearings on this matter, and there was no public opposition at either of those public hearings.

So do we have a motion and a second?

SENATOR SMITH: Motion to approve, Smith.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you.

Second?

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Second, Bramnick.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you.

Any discussion on this matter? (no response)

Hearing none, we’ll call the roll. Special Counsel Tober.

MR. TOBER: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Deputy State Treasurer Romano.

DEPUTY TREASURER ROMANO: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Director Holzbaur.
Okay, No. 4 on our agenda today is Assunpink Wildlife Management Area, various blocks and lots within Robbinsville Township -- as more specifically indicated in your packages -- Mercer County.

The DEP, on behalf of itself, Mercer County, and Robbinsville Township, requests approval to convey a total of approximately 12.1 plus or minus acres of parkland -- fee and easements -- to the New Jersey Turnpike Authority for the New Jersey Turnpike Interchange 6-9 widening program.

As compensation for they conveyances, the Authority will pay the DEP cash compensation of $1.022 million. One half of the compensation will be used to reimburse the New Jersey DEP for two replacement parcels acquired in 2009, one within the Assunpink Wildlife Management Area and one near the Wildlife Management Area. The remaining half will be used by the DEP for habitat improvements benefiting the Wildlife Management Area. In addition, Robbinsville Township will be compensated directly by the Authority in the amount of $94,080 for the administrative transfer to the DEP of its 28 percent interest in a 3.608 acre portion of Block 19, Lot 15 -- owned jointly by the DEP, the County of
Mercer, and Robbinsville Township -- prior to its conveyance to the Authority.

Okay. Any motion on this matter and second?

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Moved by Assemblyman Bramnick.

SENATOR SMITH: Seconded by Smith.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you, gentlemen.

Any discussion on this matter?

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: I have a question. On Page 7--

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Cryan, correct?

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Sorry, Assemblyman Cryan.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: On Page 7, in the second paragraph, the compensation number is greater than four times the appraised value? Is that--

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: In the members’ packets?

MR. TOBER: Yes, in the packets.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Okay. Thank you.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: In the packets.

We’ve gone through some appraised value issues on this Commission. Is that-- So this is a privately negotiated deal? It doesn’t obligate the State anywhere later on at four times? Does that number have any meaning outside of just this particular deal?

MS. PICCININI YEANY: This is Judeth Yeany from the Green Acres Program.
It was a number that was negotiated with the Turnpike Authority. And since this could be characterized as a public project, it technically exceeds the standards that we would normally apply to this type of project. So I don’t think we would consider it to be binding on future projects.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Okay. So it’s a stand-alone, Judeth, for lack of a better way to put it?

MS. PICCININI YEANY: Yes. I mean, we’ve been working with the Authority on this project for quite awhile. So I think those initial discussions about compensation probably happened 18 months ago, before the recent discussions about the pipeline project and some of the other projects.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Okay. So there’s no-- Those of us who are voting don’t have to worry that we’re voting for a precedent down the pipe for the next fellow?

MS. PICCININI YEANY: No, particularly since this involves State property. I mean, we feel, as the property owner, we have quite a lot of discretion in determining what the appropriate compensation is for our own land. We are applying our local compensation guidelines as guidance in those negotiations, but it is our property.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Okay. No problem here. Great, thank you.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Any further discussion or questions? (no response)

Okay, we will move to the vote. Special Counsel Tober.

MR. TOBER: Yes.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Deputy State Treasurer Romano.
DEPUTY TREASURER ROMANO: Yes.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Director Holzbaur.
DIRECTOR HOLZBAUR: Yes.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Senator Smith.
SENATOR SMITH: Yes.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Cryan.
ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Yes.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Bramnick.
ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Yes.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Okay. That matter is unanimously approved as well.

Thank you very much.
MR. TOBER: If we can go back to Item No. 1.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Yes, Mr. Chair.
MR. TOBER: Since we’re -- that’s the last of the Turnpike.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Okay. We’re returning to Item No. 1, which is Cape Island Wildlife Management Area. It’s Block 510, Lot -- pardon me, part of Lot 7.01, Lower Township, Cape May County.

DEP, Division of Fish and Wildlife, requests approval to convey a total of 0.235 plus or minus acres of land in fee and easements, and allow for the use of an additional 0.005 plus or minus acres of temporary workspace within the Cape Island Wildlife Management Area in connection with roadway improvements by the New Jersey Department of Transportation to U.S. Route 9.
To compensate for the conveyance easement and temporary use of 0.24 plus or minus acres of State parkland, the Department of Transportation will provide $6,700 in materials to improve fishing access points within the Cape Island Wildlife Management Area.

Is there a motion and a second to this matter?

SENATOR SMITH: So moved, Senator Smith.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you, Senator.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Second, Assemblyman Bramnick.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you, Assemblyman.

Any discussion? (no response)

Hearing none, we’ll call the roll. Special Counsel Tober.

MR. TOBER: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Deputy State Treasurer Romano.

DEPUTY TREASURER ROMANO: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Director Holzbaur.

DIRECTOR HOLZBAUR: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Cryan.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Bramnick.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: That is passed unanimously as well.

Okay. Now we’re moving on to No. 5, I believe.

MR. TOBER: Yes.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: On to No. 5: The project is entitled Gibson Creek and Peaslee Wildlife Management Area. It’s Block 60, Lot 21 -- part of Lot 21 -- as well as Block 61, part of Lot 9; Block 61.02, part of Lot 10, in Estell Manor City, Atlantic County.

The New Jersey DEP, Division of Fish and Wildlife, requests approval to convey approximately 0.50 plus or minus acres of land in fee to the Department of Transportation for the replacement of the Tuckahoe Road, County Route 557, bridge over the New Jersey TRANSIT Cape May Branch Rail Line in Estell Manor.

To compensate for the conveyance, the Department of Transportation will provide $500 cash compensation to be used for future State land acquisition, and $2,500 in materials to be used to provide security and maintenance gate -- a security/maintenance gate at the access point of the Gibson Creek and Peaslee Wildlife Management Area.

So do we have a motion and second on Item No. 5?

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Moved by Assemblyman Bramnick.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you, Assemblyman.

SENATOR SMITH: Seconded, Smith.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Great. Any discussion on this matter?

(no response)

If not, we’ll call the roll here -- the vote. Special Counsel Tober.

MR. TOBER: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Deputy State Treasurer Romano.

DEPUTY TREASURER ROMANO: Yes.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Director Holzbaur.
DIRECTOR HOLZBAUR: Yes.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Senator Smith.
SENATOR SMITH: Yes.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Cryan.
ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Yes.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Bramnick.
ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: That matter passes unanimously as well. Thank you.

On to No. 6, which is another bridge replacement matter like the former.

Pequest River Corridor Acquisition/Field of Dreams Complex, Block 23, a portion of Lot 3.19, Independence Township, Warren County.

The New Jersey DEP, on behalf of Independence Township, requests approval to divert 0.185 acres in fee to accommodate roadway improvements and a bridge replacement on Cemetery Road.

To compensate for the disposal, the Township will acquire and dedicate for recreation/conservation purposes a 0.392 acre parcel of vacant land adjacent to the diversion area. The replacement area -- replacement parcel will provide additional public access to the Pequest River.

Is there a motion and second on Item No. 6?

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Moved by Assemblyman Bramnick.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you, Sir.

SENATOR SMITH: Smith will second.
MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you.
Any discussion on this matter before we take the vote? (no response)
If not, we will then call the roll for the vote. Special Counsel Tober.

MR. TOBER: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Deputy State Treasurer Romano.
DEPUTY TREASURER ROMANO: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Director Holzbaur.
DIRECTOR HOLZBAUR: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Senator Smith.
SENATOR SMITH: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Cryan.
ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Bramnick.
ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Okay. That matter passes as well.
Thank you very much.

On to Item No. 7, Capik Nature Preserve, Block 24, part of Lot 1, Sayreville Borough, Middlesex County.

The DEP, on behalf of Sayreville Borough, requests approval to allow the conveyance of an easement interest of approximately 0.20 plus or minus acres of Borough parkland. The 20-foot wide easement is to be conveyed to the Middlesex Regional Educational Services Commission for the construction of a sanitary sewer line to serve a new 65,000-square-foot school located on Cheesequake Road.
The terms of this will be that the school will be constructed by the Middlesex Regional Educational Services Commission, a nonprofit cooperative formed by the County’s school districts.

To compensate Sayreville Borough for granting the sanitary sewer easement, the developer of the property adjacent to the proposed school, Gillette Enterprises, Inc. -- and I will mention that the principal of Gillette Enterprises, Inc. is James Gillette, of Sayreville, New Jersey -- the principal will pay the Borough $20,000 cash compensation to be dedicated for the future acquisition and/or development of municipal parkland.

Having said that, is there a motion and a second on this matter?

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Moved by Assemblyman Bramnick.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you.

SENATOR SMITH: Second by Smith.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you.

Any discussion of this matter?

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Do we have anything from Gillette that’s any sort of disclosure that he didn’t donate to anybody on the committee? I don’t think he has, but I don’t feel like voting for somebody -- I just heard his first name and-- Is it all right to vote pending that we just check that? I don’t need an ethics violation.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Sure.

Is Mr. Gillette, by chance, here? (no response)

Okay. So the question is -- take the vote subject to?
ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Is that reasonable, guys? I mean, I don’t know James Gillette, but--

SENATOR SMITH: I’m fine with it.

MR. TOBER: You just want to ensure, Assemblyman, that he hasn’t made any donations to any member on the committee?

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Yes. I mean, in the Leasing Committee we used to get these forms. I just want to be sure I don’t have a problem somewhere.

SENATOR SMITH: Just a question on that. Is it an ethics violation if somebody did donate to your campaign? I thought the violation was if any of the members -- if a member of the committee represented them, received fees from them, that kind of thing -- as opposed to campaign contributions.

What would be your view on that, Mr. Shaughnessy?

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: I don’t know if I’m in a position right now to answer that question.

SENATOR SMITH: All right. Well, you know, for the next meeting can you check it out? I think if you had a campaign contribution -- my belief, and I could be wrong, is that a campaign contribution doesn’t necessarily create an ethical problem. But then, FYI, there’s no campaign contributions to me from a guy named Gillette or from his -- any of the entities that are related in this package.

But I would like to know the answer to the question -- if that is in fact a conflict -- for future reference.
And I’m okay with Assemblyman Cryan’s vote to go forward with this just contingent upon the fact that there are no campaign contributions to anybody.

MR. TOBER: And I would note, Senator, that there are six of us present. So if it is found that one of the legislative members has a campaign issue, we could do some sort of recusal, subject to the DAG, concurring with that procedure.

SENATOR SMITH: Great. That would be great.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Okay then. Based upon those conditions, we’ll take the vote. Special Counsel Tober.

MR. TOBER: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Deputy State Treasurer Romano.

DEPUTY TREASURER ROMANO: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Director Holzbaur.

DIRECTOR HOLZBAUR: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Cryan.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Bramnick.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Okay. That matter passes as conditioned.

Okay. I believe the last matter here is the Delaware and Raritan Canal State Park, Block 1, Lots 4, 4A, 5.02, and part of 8, South Bound Brook Borough, Middlesex County.
The DEP, Division of Parks and Forestry, seeks approval to enter into a boundary line agreement. Apparently this is to amicably resolve a boundary line discrepancy between the holdings of the State and G-I Holdings, Inc., in the Borough of South Bound Brook, Somerset County, along the Delaware and Raritan Canal.

G-I Holdings, Inc. is not publicly traded. It’s owned by G Holdings, Inc., which is owned and controlled by the Samuel J. Heyman 2009 Family Trust.

The terms of this: The boundary line agreement would be mutually beneficial and is primarily intended to confirm the respective property rights of G-I Holdings, Inc. and the State, as demonstrated by the survey and title work commissioned by G-I Holdings, Inc. and reviewed by the DEP. In addition, execution of the boundary line agreement will resolve any outstanding encroachments by the Canal Park on the property. The survey work, to date, and the monumentation -- erection of permanent corner markers -- to be installed at the end of the construction project are also a benefit to the Canal Park by allowing the DEP and the public to have visual confirmation of the boundary of the Canal Park.

So with this matter, do we have a motion and a second?

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: So moved by Assemblyman Bramnick.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you, Assemblyman.

SENATOR SMITH: Second by Smith.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you very much.

Any further discussion?
MR. TOBER: Mr. Secretary, this is Peter Tober. I just would note that all of our materials suggest Somerset County, and so does the text, but the actual item says Middlesex County. Just so the record is clear, I just wanted to correct it.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you very much. I appreciate that correction, Mr. Tober.

So amended-- Then if there's no discussion, we'll take a vote. Special Counsel Tober.

MR. TOBER: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Deputy State Treasurer Romano.

DEPUTY TREASURER ROMANO: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Director Holzbaur.

DIRECTOR HOLZBAUR: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Senator Smith.

SENATOR SMITH: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Cryan.

ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Assemblyman Bramnick.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Yes.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Okay. That matter unanimously passes.

That concludes the matters for today. There being no executive session or other business--

SENATOR SMITH: Motion to adjourn.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Yes, sir.

Second?
ASSEMBLYMAN CRYAN: Second.

MR. TOBER: And thank you all for participating on such short notice.

I just want to announce to the members and the public that we intend to have a meeting to clear up a backlog of items on either the 15th or the 22nd -- most likely the 22nd. So we’ll reach out to all the members to ascertain a time. But that will be our intention for the next meeting.

ASSEMBLYMAN BRAMNICK: Thank you.

MR. TOBER: Thank you.

SENATOR SMITH: Have a great day.

MR. SHAUGHNESSY: Thank you for your participation.

SENATOR SMITH: Bye now.

(MEETING CONCLUDED)